arXiv:1111.3453v1 [math.CA] 15 Nov 2011

CHARACTERIZATION OF BANACH VALUED BMO FUNCTIONS AND UMD BANACH SPACES BY USING BESSEL CONVOLUTIONS

JORGE J. BETANCOR, ALEJANDRO J. CASTRO, AND LOURDES RODRÍGUEZ-MESA

Abstract. In this paper we consider the space $BMO_o(\mathbb{R}, X)$ of bounded mean oscillations and odd functions on $\mathbb{R}$ taking values in a UMD Banach space X. The functions in $BMO_o(\mathbb{R}, X)$ are characterized by Carleson type conditions involving Bessel convolutions and γ-radonifying norms. Also we prove that the UMD Banach spaces are the unique Banach spaces for which certain γ-radonifying Carleson inequalities for Bessel-Poisson integrals of $BMO_o(\mathbb{R}, X)$ functions hold.

1. Introduction

As it is well known the Hilbert transform H defined by

$$Hf(x) = \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ into itself, for every $1 < p < \infty$, and from $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. If X is a Banach space, the Hilbert transform is defined on $L^p(\mathbb{R}) \otimes X$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, in the natural way. A Banach space X is said to be a UMD space when the Hilbert transform can be extended to the Bochner-Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ as a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}, X)$ into itself, for some (equivalently, for any) $1 < p < \infty$. Equivalent definitions and other properties and applications of UMD Banach spaces can be found in [1], [9], [10], [11], [12], [17], [21], [23], [24] and [34], amongst others.

In [4] and [5] it was studied the space $BMO_o(\mathbb{R})$ of odd bounded mean oscillation on $\mathbb{R}$. $BMO_o(\mathbb{R})$ was characterized by using Carleson measures involving Poisson and heat integrals associated with Bessel operators ([5, Theorem 1.1]). In this paper we consider the Banach valued odd BMO space. Assume that X is a Banach space. We say that a function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ belongs to $BMO(\mathbb{R}, X)$, when

$$\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R},X)} = \sup_{I \subset \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \|f(x) - f_I\|_X dx < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all bounded intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Here $f_I = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(x)dx$, the integral being understood in the Bochner sense, and $|I|$ denotes the length of I. By $BMO_o(\mathbb{R}, X)$ we represent the space of all odd functions in $BMO(\mathbb{R}, X)$. According to the John-Nirenberg inequality we can see that an odd function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is in $BMO_o(\mathbb{R}, X)$ if, and only if, for some (equivalently, for any) $1 \leq p < \infty$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \|f(x) - f_I\|_X^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C, \tag{1}$$

for every interval $I = (a, b)$, $0 < a < b < \infty$, and

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \|f(x)\|_X^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C, \tag{2}$$

for each interval $I = (0, b)$, $0 < b < \infty$. Moreover, for every $f \in BMO_o(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R},X)}$ is equivalent to the infimum of the constants C satisfying (1) and (2).

For every $\lambda > 0$, we consider the Bessel operator $\Delta_\lambda = -x^{-\lambda} \frac{d}{dx} x^{2\lambda} \frac{d}{dx} x^{-\lambda}$ on $(0, \infty)$. If J_ν denotes the Bessel function of the first kind and order ν, we have that

$$\Delta_{\lambda,x} \left(\sqrt{xy} J_{\lambda-1/2}(xy) \right) = y^2 \sqrt{xy} J_{\lambda-1/2}(xy), \quad x, y \in (0, \infty). \tag{3}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E40, 42A50.

Key words and phrases. γ-radonifying operators, BMO, Bessel convolution, UMD Banach spaces.

The authors are partially supported by MTM2010/17974. The second author is also supported by a FPU grant from the Government of Spain.

The Hankel transformation h_λ is defined by

$$h_\lambda(f)(x) = \int_0^\infty \sqrt{xy} J_{\lambda-1/2}(xy) f(y) dy, \quad x \in (0,\infty),$$

for every $f \in L^1(0,\infty)$. The transformation h_λ plays in the Bessel setting the same role as the Fourier transformation in the classical (Laplacian) setting. h_λ is an isometry in $L^2(0,\infty)$ and $h_\lambda^{-1} = h_\lambda$ on $L^2(0,\infty)$.

We represent by $S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ the space constituted by all functions $\phi \in C^\infty(0,\infty)$ such that, for every $m,k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\beta_{m,k}^\lambda(\phi) = \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)} \left| x^m \left(\frac{1}{x}\frac{d}{dx}\right)^k \left(x^{-\lambda}\phi(x)\right)\right| < \infty.$$

$S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ is endowed with the topology generated by the family of seminorms $\{\beta_{m,k}^\lambda\}_{m,k\in\mathbb{N}}$. The Hankel transformation h_λ is an automorphism in $S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ ([37, Lemma 8]). The dual space of $S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ is denoted by $S_\lambda(0,\infty)'$.

If $f,g \in L^1((0,\infty), x^\lambda dx)$ the Bessel (also called Hankel) convolution $\#_\lambda$ is defined by

$$(f\#_\lambda g)(x) = \int_0^\infty f(y)\, {}_\lambda\tau_x(g)(y) dy, \quad x \in (0,\infty),$$

where the Bessel translation ${}_\lambda\tau_x(g)$ of g is given by

$${}_\lambda\tau_x(g)(y) = \frac{(xy)^\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\pi (\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1} \frac{g\left(\sqrt{(x-y)^2+2xy(1-\cos\theta)}\right)}{\left((x-y)^2+2xy(1-\cos\theta)\right)^{\lambda/2}} d\theta, \quad x,y \in (0,\infty),$$

(see [18]). By [19, Theorem 2.d] we have the following interchange formula,

$$h_\lambda(f\#_\lambda g)(x) = x^{-\lambda} h_\lambda(f)(x) h_\lambda(g)(x), \quad x \in (0,\infty). \tag{4}$$

From (4) it is clear that $\#_\lambda$ is a commutative and associative operation in $L^1((0,\infty), x^\lambda dx)$.

The $\#_\lambda$-convolution was studied on $S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ and $S_\lambda(0,\infty)'$ in [28]. The mapping $(\phi,\psi) \longmapsto \phi\#_\lambda\psi$ is bilinear and continuous from $S_\lambda(0,\infty)\times S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ into $S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ ([28, Proposition 2.2, (i)]).

The Hankel convolution $f\#_\lambda\phi$ is defined when $f \in L^1((0,\infty), x^\lambda dx; X)$ and $\phi \in L^1((0,\infty), x^\lambda dx)$ in the natural way, that is, understanding the integrals in the Bochner's sense.

If $\phi : \Upsilon \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $\Upsilon = \mathbb{R}$ or $\Upsilon = (0,\infty)$, we denote by $\phi_{(t)}$ and ϕ_t, $t > 0$, the following dilated functions

$$\phi_{(t)}(x) = \phi_{(t)}^\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{t^{\lambda+1}}\phi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right), \quad \phi_t(x) = \frac{1}{t}\phi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right), \quad t \in (0,\infty),\ x \in \Upsilon.$$

While ϕ_t is the classical dilated function, $\phi_{(t)}$ is the one adapted to the Δ_λ-setting.

By $\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2}); X\right)$ we represent the Gauss space, also called γ-radonifying operators from $L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2})$ into X (see [23] and [31] for general definitions and properties). Suppose that $F : (0,\infty)^2 \longrightarrow X$ is weakly-$L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2}; X)$, that is, $G = \langle F, x'\rangle \in L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2})$, for every $x' \in X'$, where X' denotes the dual space of X. We say that $F \in \gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2}); X\right)$ when the operator I_F defined by

$$I_F(h) = \int_{(0,\infty)^2} F(y,t) h(y,t) \frac{dtdy}{t^2}, \quad h \in L^2\left((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2}\right),$$

belongs to $\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2}); X\right)$, that is,

$$\|I_F\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2}); X\right)} = \sup \left\| \sum_j \gamma_j I_F(h_j) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, X)} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite orthonormal families $\{h_j\}$ in $L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2})$ and $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of independent complex standard Gaussian random variables on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$. In this case, we write $\|F\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}$ to refer $\|I_F\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}$. If F is not weakly-$L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2}; X)$ we say that $\|F\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} = \infty$.

Hytönen and Weis [23] introduced vector valued versions of some functionals considered by Coifman, Meyer and Stein [13] to define tent spaces. Here we work with truncated versions of Hytönen and Weis' functionals. For every $x, r \in (0,\infty)$ we define the truncated cones

$$\Gamma_+(x) = \{(y,t) \in (0,\infty)^2 : |x-y| < t\},$$

and

$$\Gamma_+^r(x) = \{(y,t) \in (0,\infty)^2 : |x-y| < t < r\}.$$

Let $F : (0,\infty)^2 \longrightarrow X$ be a strongly measurable function. We define the conical square function $A^+(F)$ as follows

$$A^+(F)(x) = \|F(y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}, \quad x \in (0,\infty).$$

Also, we consider for every $r > 0$ the truncated square function $A^+(F\big|r)$ given by

$$A^+(F\big|r)(x) = \|F(y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_+^r(x)}(y,t)\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}, \quad x \in (0,\infty).$$

Note that, according to [23, p. 499], if $X = \mathbb{C}$, then

$$A^+(F)(x) = \left(\int_{\Gamma_+(x)} |F(y,t)|^2 \frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{1/2}, \quad x \in (0,\infty).$$

For every $0 < q < \infty$, we define $C_q^+(F)$ by

$$C_q^+(F)(z) = \sup_{I \ni z} \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I A^+(F\big||I|/2)^q(x)dx\right)^{1/q}, \quad z \in (0,\infty),$$

where the supremum is taken over all bounded intervals $I \subset (0,\infty)$ such that $z \in I$. $C_q^+(F)$ is somehow a q-average of the truncated conical square function.

We now state our first result that can be seen as a Bessel version of [23, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a UMD Banach space and $\lambda > 1$. Assume that f is an odd X-valued function satisfying that $(1+x^2)^{-1}f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\phi \in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ such that $\int_0^\infty x^\lambda \phi(x)dx = 0$.*

(i) If $f \in BMO_o(\mathbb{R}, X)$, then $C_q^+(f\#_\lambda \phi_{(t)}) \in L^\infty(0,\infty)$, for every $0 < q < \infty$.

(ii) If $C_q^+(f\#_\lambda \phi_{(t)}) \in L^\infty(0,\infty)$, for some $0 < q < \infty$, then $f \in BMO_o(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Moreover, the quantities $\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)}$ and $\|C_q^+(f\#_\lambda \phi_{(t)})\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)}$, $0 < q < \infty$, are equivalent.

The Poisson semigroup $\{P_t^\lambda\}_{t>0}$ associated with the Bessel operator Δ_λ (that is, the semigroup of operator generated by $-\sqrt{\Delta_\lambda}$) is given by

$$P_t^\lambda(f)(x) = \int_0^\infty P_t^\lambda(x,y)f(y)dy, \quad t, x \in (0,\infty),$$

where the Poisson kernel $P_t^\lambda(x,y)$, $t, x, y \in (0,\infty)$, is defined by ([36])

$$P_t^\lambda(x,y) = \frac{2\lambda(xy)^\lambda t}{\pi}\int_0^\pi \frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}}{[(x-y)^2+t^2+2xy(1-\cos\theta)]^{\lambda+1}}d\theta, \quad t, x, y \in (0,\infty).$$

The semigroup $\{P_t^\lambda\}_{t>0}$ is contractive in $L^p(0,\infty)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, but it is not Markovian.

In [6] Littlewood-Paley g-functions associated with $\{P_t^\lambda\}_{t>0}$ acting on Banach valued functions were defined. If $1 < q < \infty$ and $f : (0,\infty) \longrightarrow X$ is a strongly measurable function the g_q^λ-function of f is defined by

$$g_q^\lambda(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \|t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f)(x)\|_X^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q}, \quad x \in (0,\infty).$$

Those Banach spaces that admit a q-uniformly convex or q-uniformly smooth (see [33] for definitions) equivalent norms were characterized by using L^p-inequalities involving g_q^λ-functions ([6,

Theorems 2.4 and 2.5]).

Also we can define the q-conical square function $G_q^\lambda(f)$ of f associated to the Poisson semigroup $\{P_t^\lambda\}_{t>0}$ by

$$G_q^\lambda(f)(x) = \left(\int_{\Gamma_+(x)} \|t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f)(y)\|_X^q \frac{dydt}{t^2} \right)^{1/q}, \quad x \in (0,\infty).$$

By taking into account the results in [27] and by using the ideas developed in the proof of [6, Theorems 2.4 and 2.5] (see also [3, Proposition 1.3]) the Banach spaces having q-uniformly convex or smooth renorming can be characterized in the following way.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a Banach space and $\lambda > 0$.*

(i) Suppose that $2 \leq q < \infty$. The space X admits a q-uniformly convex equivalent norm if, and only if, for some (equivalently, for any) $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|G_q^\lambda(f)\|_{L^p(0,\infty)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p((0,\infty),X)}, \quad f \in L^p((0,\infty),X).$$

(ii) Assume that $1 < q \leq 2$. The space X can be q-uniformly smooth renormed if, and only if, for some (equivalently, for any) $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|f\|_{L^p((0,\infty),X)} \leq C\|G_q^\lambda(f)\|_{L^p(0,\infty)}, \quad f \in L^p((0,\infty),X).$$

Also in [3] the authors characterize the Banach spaces with a q-uniformly convex and smooth equivalent norm by using Carleson measures and the space $BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)$.

Theorem 1.3 ([3, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2])**.** *Let X be a Banach space and $\lambda > 1$.*

(i) Assume that $2 \leq q < \infty$. Then, X has an equivalent norm which is q-uniformly convex if, and only if, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for every $f \in BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)$,

$$\sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_0^{|I|} \int_I \|t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f)(y)\|_X^q \frac{dydt}{t} \leq C\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)}^q,$$

where the supremum is taken over all bounded intervals I in $(0,\infty)$.

(ii) Suppose that $1 < q \leq 2$. Then, X has an equivalent q-uniformly smooth norm if, and only if, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for every odd X-valued function f, satisfying that $(1+x^2)^{-1}f \in L^1(\mathbb{R},X)$,

$$\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)}^q \leq C \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_0^{|I|} \int_I \|t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f)(y)\|_X^q \frac{dydt}{t},$$

where the supremum is taken over all bounded intervals I in $(0,\infty)$.

Note that

$$\sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_0^{|I|} \int_I \|t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f)(y)\|_X^q \frac{dydt}{t} \backsimeq \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \int_{\Gamma_+^{|I|/2}(x)} \|t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f)(y)\|_X^q \frac{dydt}{t^2} dx.$$

For every $t > 0$, the operator P_t^λ is a Hankel convolution operator. Indeed, if we define

$$k^\lambda(x) = \frac{2^{\lambda+1/2}\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{x^\lambda}{(1+x^2)^{\lambda+1}}, \quad x \in (0,\infty),$$

then $P_t^\lambda(f) = f\#_\lambda k_{(t)}^\lambda$, $f \in L^p(0,\infty)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $t > 0$. Moreover, we can write

$$t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f) = f\#_\lambda t\partial_t k_{(t)}^\lambda, \quad f \in L^p(0,\infty),\ t > 0.$$

Note that

$$t\partial_t k_{(t)}^\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{t^{\lambda+1}} \left[-(\lambda+1)k^\lambda\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) - \frac{x}{t}\left(\frac{d}{du}k^\lambda\right)(u)\Big|_{u=x/t} \right] = \hbar_{(t)}^\lambda(x), \quad t,x \in (0,\infty),$$

where

$$\hbar^\lambda(x) = -(\lambda+1)k^\lambda(x) - x\frac{d}{dx}k^\lambda(x), \quad x \in (0,\infty).$$

It is not hard to see that $\int_0^\infty x^\lambda \hbar^\lambda(x)dx = 0$ and that $\hbar^\lambda \notin S_\lambda(0,\infty)$. Our next result cannot be deduced from Theorem 1.1 because $\hbar^\lambda \notin S_\lambda(0,\infty)$, but $\hbar^\lambda$ has sufficient decay so the computations given in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (see Section 3) remain valid, even for $\lambda > 0$ (see [5, Proposition 4.4]).

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a UMD Banach space, $\lambda > 0$ and $q > 0$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{C}\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)} \leq \|C_q^+(t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f))\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)} \leq C\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)},$$

for every $f \in BMO_o(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Note that if $X = \mathbb{C}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|C_2^+(t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f))\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)}^2 &= \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I \int_{\Gamma_+^{|I|/2}(x)} |t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f)(y)|^2 \frac{dydt}{t^2}dx \\ &\backsimeq \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|}\int_I |t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f)(y)|^2 \frac{dydt}{t}.\end{aligned}$$

For a general Banach space X, even when $q = 2$,

$$\|C_q^+(t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f))\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)}^q \not\backsimeq \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|}\int_I \|t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f)(y)\|_X^q \frac{dydt}{t}, \quad f \in BMO_o(\mathbb{R}, X).$$

Indeed, if X is a UMD Banach space and

$$\|C_2^+(t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f))\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)}^2 \backsimeq \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|}\int_I \|t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f)(y)\|_X^2 \frac{dydt}{t}, \quad f \in BMO_o(\mathbb{R}, X),$$

Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 implies that X has an equivalent 2-uniformly convex norm and an equivalent 2-uniformly smooth norm, hence X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space (see [25, Proposition 3.1] and [33, Proposition 4.36]), and this is not always possible. For example, $L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, is a UMD space which is not isomorphic to a Hilbert space.

We now establish a new characterization of the UMD Banach spaces in terms of the Poisson semigroup $\{P_t^\lambda\}_{t>0}$ and the functional C_q^+.

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a Banach space, $\lambda > 1$ and $0 < q < \infty$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(*i*) *X is UMD.*

(*ii*) *There exist $C > 0$ such that, for every odd X-valued function satisfying that $(1+x^2)^{-1}f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, X)$,*

$$\frac{1}{C}\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)} \leq \|C_q^+(t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(f))\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)}, \tag{5}$$

and

$$\left\|C_q^+\left(\int_0^\infty f(z)tD_{\lambda,z}P_t^\lambda(x,z)dz\right)\right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)} \leq C\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)}, \tag{6}$$

where $D_{\lambda,z} = z^\lambda \frac{d}{dz} z^{-\lambda}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we establish some auxiliary results. We prove L^p-boundedness properties of A^+ and a polarization identity involving $\#_\lambda$-convolution. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is presented in Section 3. Finally in Section 4 we give a proof of Theorem 1.5.

Throughout this paper we denote by C a positive constant that can change in each occurrence.

2. Auxiliary results

In this section we establish some results that will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Firstly we prove two boundedness properties of A^+.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a UMD Banach space, $\lambda > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Assume that $\phi \in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$, verifying that $x^\lambda\phi$ has vanishing integral over $(0,\infty)$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|A^+(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})\|_{L^p(0,\infty)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p((0,\infty),X)}, \quad f \in L^p((0,\infty), X).$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^p((0,\infty), X)$. To simplify the notation we call $\psi(w) = \phi(w)w^{-\lambda}$, $w \in (0,\infty)$. According to [14, p. 85], there exists Φ in the Schwartz class $S(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\Phi(w^2) = \psi(w)$, $w \in (0,\infty)$. We also introduce the function,

$$\Psi(w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\lambda+1/2}\Gamma(\lambda)}\int_0^\infty u^{\lambda-1}\Phi(w^2+u)du, \quad w \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}{}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z) =&\frac{(yz)^\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda)t^{2\lambda+1}}\left(\int_0^{\pi/2}+\int_{\pi/2}^{\pi}\right)(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}\Phi\left(\frac{(y-z)^2+2yz(1-\cos\theta)}{t^2}\right)d\theta\\ =&\mathcal{K}_1(z;y,t)+\mathcal{K}_2(z;y,t),\quad t,y,z\in(0,\infty).\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $\Phi\in S(\mathbb{R})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathcal{K}_2(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\leq Cz^\lambda\left\{\int_{\Gamma_+(x)}\frac{y^{2\lambda}}{t^{4\lambda+4}}\left(\frac{t^2}{t^2+y^2+z^2}\right)^{2\lambda+2}dydt\right\}^{1/2}\\ &\leq Cz^\lambda\left\{\int_0^\infty\int_{|x-y|}^\infty\frac{dtdy}{(t+y+z)^{2\lambda+4}}\right\}^{1/2}=Cz^\lambda\left\{\int_0^\infty\frac{dy}{(|x-y|+y+z)^{2\lambda+3}}\right\}^{1/2}\\ &=Cz^\lambda\left\{\int_0^x\frac{dy}{(x+z)^{2\lambda+3}}+\int_x^\infty\frac{dy}{(2y-x+z)^{2\lambda+3}}\right\}^{1/2}\leq C\frac{z^\lambda}{(x+z)^{\lambda+1}}\leq\frac{C}{x+z},\ x,z\in(0,\infty).\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

On the other hand, by proceeding in a similar way we have that

$$\|\mathcal{K}_1(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\leq Cz^\lambda\left\{\int_0^\infty\frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(|x-y|+|y-z|)^{4\lambda+3}}dy\right\}^{1/2},\ x,z\in(0,\infty).$$

In order to analyze this integral we consider two situations. Firstly, assume that $0<z\leq x/2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty\frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(|x-y|+|y-z|)^{4\lambda+3}}dy\leq&C\Big(z^{2\lambda}\int_0^z\frac{dy}{(x+z-2y)^{4\lambda+3}}+x^{2\lambda}\int_z^x\frac{dy}{(x-z)^{4\lambda+3}}+\int_x^\infty\frac{dy}{(2y-x-z)^{2\lambda+3}}\Big)\\ \leq&C\left(\frac{x^{2\lambda}}{(x-z)^{4\lambda+2}}+\frac{1}{(x-z)^{2\lambda+2}}\right)\leq\frac{C}{(x-z)^{2\lambda+2}}.\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry reasons, we also have that

$$\int_0^\infty\frac{y^{2\lambda}}{(|x-y|+|y-z|)^{4\lambda+3}}dy\leq\frac{C}{(z-x)^{2\lambda+2}},\quad 0<2x\leq z<\infty.$$

Thus,

$$\|\mathcal{K}_1(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\leq C\frac{z^\lambda}{|x-z|^{\lambda+1}}\leq C\begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{x}, & 0<z\leq x/2,\\ \dfrac{1}{z}, & 0<2x\leq z.\end{cases}\tag{8}$$

We now introduce the new kernels

$$\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(z;y,t)=\frac{(yz)^\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda)t^{2\lambda+1}}\int_0^{\pi/2}\theta^{2\lambda-1}\Phi\left(\frac{(y-z)^2+2yz(1-\cos\theta)}{t^2}\right)d\theta,$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{1,2}(z;y,t)=\frac{(yz)^\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda)t^{2\lambda+1}}\int_0^{\pi/2}\theta^{2\lambda-1}\Phi\left(\frac{(y-z)^2+yz\theta^2}{t^2}\right)d\theta.$$

By using the mean value theorem, the decay of Φ and that $2(1-\cos\theta)\sim\theta^2$, when $\theta\in(0,\pi/2)$, we can write,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{K}_1(z;y,t)-\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\\
&\leq C\left\{\int_{\Gamma_+(x)}\left(\frac{(yz)^\lambda}{t^{2\lambda+2}}\int_0^{\pi/2}|(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}-\theta^{2\lambda-1}|\left|\Phi\left(\frac{(y-z)^2+2yz(1-\cos\theta)}{t^2}\right)\right|d\theta\right)^2 dydt\right\}^{1/2}\\
&\leq C\left\{\int_{\Gamma_+(x)}\left(\frac{(yz)^\lambda}{t^{2\lambda+2}}\int_0^{\pi/2}\theta^{2\lambda+1}\left(\frac{t^2}{t^2+(y-z)^2+2yz(1-\cos\theta)}\right)^{\lambda+1}d\theta\right)^2 dydt\right\}^{1/2}\\
&\leq C\left\{\int_{\Gamma_+(x)}\left((yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}}{(t^2+(y-z)^2+yz\theta^2)^{\lambda+1}}d\theta\right)^2 dydt\right\}^{1/2}\\
&\leq\frac{C}{z}\left(1+\log_+\frac{z}{|x-z|}\right),\quad 0<x/2<z<2x.
\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

In the last inequality we have used the estimations shown in [5, p. 483–484]. Analogously, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{K}_{1,2}(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\\
&\leq C\left\{\int_{\Gamma_+(x)}\left(\frac{(yz)^\lambda}{t^{2\lambda+2}}\int_0^{\pi/2}\theta^{2\lambda-1}\left|\Phi\left(\frac{(y-z)^2+2yz(1-\cos\theta)}{t^2}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{(y-z)^2+yz\theta^2}{t^2}\right)\right|d\theta\right)^2 dydt\right\}^{1/2}\\
&\leq C\left\{\int_{\Gamma_+(x)}\left(\frac{(yz)^\lambda}{t^{2\lambda+2}}\int_0^{\pi/2}\theta^{2\lambda-1}\frac{yz|1-\cos\theta-\theta^2/2|}{t^2}\left(\frac{t^2}{t^2+(y-z)^2+yz\theta^2}\right)^{\lambda+2}d\theta\right)^2 dydt\right\}^{1/2}\\
&\leq C\left\{\int_{\Gamma_+(x)}\left((yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}}{(t^2+(y-z)^2+yz\theta^2)^{\lambda+1}}d\theta\right)^2 dydt\right\}^{1/2}\\
&\leq\frac{C}{z}\left(1+\log_+\frac{z}{|x-z|}\right),\quad 0<x/2<z<2x.
\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

We now split the kernel $\mathcal{K}_{1,2}$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{1,2}(z;y,t)=&\frac{(yz)^\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda)t^{2\lambda+1}}\left(\int_0^\infty-\int_{\pi/2}^\infty\right)\theta^{2\lambda-1}\Phi\left(\frac{(y-z)^2+yz\theta^2}{t^2}\right)d\theta\\
=&\mathcal{K}_{1,3}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{K}_{1,4}(z;y,t),\quad t,y,z\in(0,\infty).
\end{aligned}$$

By making the change of variables $u=yz\theta^2/t^2$ we arrive at

$$\mathcal{K}_{1,3}(z;y,t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\lambda+1/2}\Gamma(\lambda)}\int_0^\infty\frac{u^{\lambda-1}}{t}\Phi\left(\left(\frac{y-z}{t}\right)^2+u\right)du=\Psi_t(y-z),\quad t,y,z\in(0,\infty).$$

By using again that $\Phi\in S(\mathbb{R})$, the bound obtained in [5, p. 486–487] allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{K}_{1,4}(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\\
&\leq C\left\{\int_{\Gamma_+(x)}\left(\frac{(yz)^\lambda}{t^{2\lambda+2}}\int_{\pi/2}^\infty\theta^{2\lambda-1}\left(\frac{t^2}{t^2+(y-z)^2+yz\theta^2}\right)^{\lambda+1}d\theta\right)^2 dydt\right\}^{1/2}\\
&\leq C\left\{\int_{\Gamma_+(x)}\left((yz)^\lambda\int_{\pi/2}^\infty\frac{\theta^{2\lambda-1}}{(t^2+(y-z)^2+yz\theta^2)^{\lambda+1}}d\theta\right)^2 dydt\right\}^{1/2}\leq\frac{C}{z},\quad x,z,\in(0,\infty).
\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

By putting together estimations (7)–(11), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^+(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(x) &= \left\| \int_0^\infty f(z)\, {}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)dz \right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \left\| f(z)\mathcal{K}_2(z;y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)\right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} dz \\
&\quad + \int_{(0,x/2)\cup(2x,\infty)} \left\| f(z)\mathcal{K}_1(z;y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)\right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} dz \\
&\quad + \int_{x/2}^{2x} \left\| f(z)\left[\mathcal{K}_1(z;y,t)-\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(z;y,t)\right]\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)\right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} dz \\
&\quad + \int_{x/2}^{2x} \left\| f(z)\left[\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{K}_{1,2}(z;y,t)\right]\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)\right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} dz \\
&\quad + \int_{x/2}^{2x} \left\| f(z)\mathcal{K}_{1,4}(z;y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)\right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} dz \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_{x/2}^{2x} f(z)\mathcal{K}_{1,3}(z;y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)dz \right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \|f(z)\|_X \left\|\mathcal{K}_2(z;y,t)\right\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})} dz + \int_{(0,x/2)\cup(2x,\infty)} \|f(z)\|_X \left\|\mathcal{K}_1(z;y,t)\right\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})} dz \\
&\quad + \int_{x/2}^{2x} \|f(z)\|_X \left\|\mathcal{K}_1(z;y,t)-\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(z;y,t)\right\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})} dz \\
&\quad + \int_{x/2}^{2x} \|f(z)\|_X \left\|\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{K}_{1,2}(z;y,t)\right\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})} dz \\
&\quad + \int_{x/2}^{2x} \|f(z)\|_X \left\|\mathcal{K}_{1,4}(z;y,t)\right\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})} dz \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_{x/2}^{2x} f(z)\mathcal{K}_{1,3}(z;y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)dz \right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} \\
&\leq C\Big(H_0(\|f\|_X)(x) + H_\infty(\|f\|_X)(x) + \mathcal{N}(\|f\|_X)(x) \\
&\quad + \left\| \int_{x/2}^{2x} f(z)\mathcal{K}_{1,3}(z;y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)dz \right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} \Big), \quad x\in(0,\infty),
\end{aligned}
\tag{12}
$$

being

$$H_0(g)(x) = \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x g(z)dz, \quad x\in(0,\infty),$$

$$H_\infty(g)(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{g(z)}{z}dz, \quad x\in(0,\infty),$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(g)(x) = \int_{x/2}^{2x} \frac{1}{z}\left(1+\log_+\frac{z}{|x-z|}\right) g(z)dz, \quad x\in(0,\infty).$$

It is known that the Hardy type operators H_0 and H_∞ are bounded from $L^p(0,\infty)$ into itself ([29]). $\mathcal{N}$ also maps $L^p(0,\infty)$ into $L^p(0,\infty)$, even for $p=1$. This can be easily checked by taking into account that

$$0 < \int_{1/2}^2 \frac{1}{u}\left(1+\log_+\frac{u}{|1-u|}\right) du < \infty,$$

and by applying Jensen's inequality.

We now analyze the last quantity in (12). We define $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x)$ when $x \geq 0$ and $\tilde{f}(x) = 0$, otherwise. It is clear that,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\| \int_{x/2}^{2x} f(z)\mathcal{K}_{1,3}(z;y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)dz\Big\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} &\leq \Big\|(\tilde{f}*\Psi_t)(y)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)\Big\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}\\ &+ \int_{(0,x/2)\cup(2x,\infty)} \|f(z)\|_X \, \|\Psi_t(y-z)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\, dz, \quad x\in(0,\infty),\end{aligned}$$

and this second integral is controlled by Hardy type operators. Indeed, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Psi_t(y-z)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})} \leq& C\left\{\int_0^\infty \int_{|x-y|}^\infty \frac{dydt}{(t+|y-z|)^4}\right\}^{1/2}\\ \leq& C\left(\int_{I_{x,z}} \frac{dy}{(|y-z|+|x-y|)^3} + \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus I_{x,z}} \frac{dy}{(|y-z|+|x-y|)^3}\right)^{1/2}\\ \leq& \frac{C}{|x-z|} \leq C\begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{x}, & 0<z\leq x/2,\\[2ex] \dfrac{1}{z}, & 0<2x\leq z,\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

because $\Psi \in S(\mathbb{R})$. Here $I_{x,z}$ represents the interval $(\min\{x,z\}, \max\{x,z\})$. Hence, it only remains to prove that

$$\left\|\Big\|(\tilde{f}*\Psi_t)(y)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)\Big\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}\right\|_{L^p(0,\infty)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p((0,\infty),X)}.$$

Let $\{\gamma_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent complex standard Gaussian random variables in the probability space $(\Omega,\mathcal{A},\mathbb{P})$. Suppose that $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^N$ is a finite family of orthonormal functions in $L^2((0,\infty)^2, \frac{dydt}{t^2})$. By defining

$$\tilde{h}_j(y,t) = \begin{cases} h_j(y,t), & y>0,\ t>0,\\[2ex] 0, & y\leq 0,\ t>0,\end{cases}$$

$\{\tilde{h}_j\}_{j=1}^N$ is also orthonormal in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+, \frac{dydt}{t^2})$, where $\mathbb{R}^2_+$ denotes the half-space $\mathbb{R}\times(0,\infty)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{\int_\Omega \left\|\sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_j(w)\int_{(0,\infty)^2}(\tilde{f}*\Psi_t)(y)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)h_j(y,t)\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right\|_X^2 d\mathbb{P}(w)\right\}^{1/2}\\ &\quad= \left\{\int_\Omega \left\|\sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_j(w)\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}(\tilde{f}*\Psi_t)(y)\chi_{\Gamma(x)}(y,t)\tilde{h}_j(y,t)\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right\|_X^2 d\mathbb{P}(w)\right\}^{1/2}\\ &\quad\leq \Big\|(\tilde{f}*\Psi_t)(y)\chi_{\Gamma(x)}(y,t)\Big\|_{\gamma\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}, \quad x\in(0,\infty).\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Here $\Gamma(x) = \{(y,t)\in\mathbb{R}^2_+ : |x-y|<t\}$, $x\in\mathbb{R}$.

Finally, applying [23, Theorem 4.2] we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\Big\|(\tilde{f}*\Psi_t)(y)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)\Big\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}\right\|_{L^p(0,\infty)} \leq& \left\|\Big\|(\tilde{f}*\Psi_t)(y)\chi_{\Gamma(x)}(y,t)\Big\|_{\gamma\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}\\ \leq& C\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},X)} = C\|f\|_{L^p((0,\infty),X)}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that, [23, Theorem 4.2] requires that Ψ has vanishing integral, and this is a consequence of the hypothesis imposed over ϕ, as we now show,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Psi(w)dw =&\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda)}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty u^{\lambda-1}\frac{\phi(\sqrt{w^2+u})}{\left(\sqrt{w^2+u}\right)^\lambda}dudw\\ =&\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\lambda-3/2}\Gamma(\lambda)}\int_0^\infty\int_w^\infty (z^2-w^2)^{\lambda-1}z^{1-\lambda}\phi(z)dzdw\\ =&\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{\lambda-3/2}\Gamma(\lambda)}\int_0^\infty z^{1-\lambda}\phi(z)\int_0^z(z^2-w^2)^{\lambda-1}dwdz\\ =&\frac{1}{2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)}\int_0^\infty z^\lambda\phi(z)dz=0. \end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

□

We now introduce the operator $\mathcal{Y}_\lambda$ defined by

$$\mathcal{Y}_\lambda(f)(x,t)=\int_0^\infty f(y)tD_{\lambda,y}P_t^\lambda(x,y)dy,\quad t,x\in(0,\infty),$$

where $D_{\lambda,y}=y^\lambda\frac{d}{dy}y^{-\lambda}$. Note that $\mathcal{Y}_\lambda$ is not a Hankel convolution operator. Hence, the next result is not a special case of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a UMD Banach space, $\lambda>0$ and $1<p<\infty$. Then, there exists $C>0$ such that*

$$\left\|A^+\left(\mathcal{Y}_\lambda(f)\right)\right\|_{L^p(0,\infty)}\leq C\|f\|_{L^p((0,\infty),X)},\quad f\in L^p((0,\infty),X).$$

Proof. Let $f\in L^p((0,\infty),X)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}tD_{\lambda,z}P_t^\lambda(y,z)=&-\frac{4\lambda(\lambda+1)}{\pi}t^2(yz)^\lambda\left(\int_0^{\pi/2}+\int_{\pi/2}^\pi\right)\frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}[(z-y)+y(1-\cos\theta)]}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+2yz(1-\cos\theta)]^{\lambda+2}}d\theta\\ =&\mathcal{L}_1(z;y,t)+\mathcal{L}_2(z;y,t),\quad t,y,z\in(0,\infty).\end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following kernels, which will help us to obtain the desired estimations,

$$\mathcal{L}_{1,1}(z;y,t)=-\frac{4\lambda(\lambda+1)}{\pi}t^2(yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda-1}[(z-y)+y(1-\cos\theta)]}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+2yz(1-\cos\theta)]^{\lambda+2}}d\theta,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{1,2}(z;y,t)=&-\frac{4\lambda(\lambda+1)}{\pi}t^2(yz)^\lambda\left(\int_0^\infty-\int_{\pi/2}^\infty\right)\frac{\theta^{2\lambda-1}[(z-y)+y\theta^2/2]}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+2}}d\theta\\ =&\mathcal{L}_{1,3}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,4}(z;y,t),\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{1,5}(z;y,t)=-\frac{4\lambda(\lambda+1)}{\pi}t^2(yz)^\lambda\int_0^\infty\frac{\theta^{2\lambda-1}(z-y)}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+2}}d\theta,$$

and $\mathcal{L}_{1,6}(z;y,t)=\mathcal{L}_{1,3}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,5}(z;y,t)$, for every $t,y,z\in(0,\infty)$.

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}A^+\left(\int_0^\infty f(z)tD_{\lambda,z}P_t^\lambda(y,z)dz\right)(x)\leq&\int_0^\infty\|f(z)\|_X\,\|\mathcal{L}_2(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\,dz\\ &+\int_{(0,x/2)\cup(2x,\infty)}\|f(z)\|_X\,\|\mathcal{L}_1(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\,dz\\ &+\int_{x/2}^{2x}\|f(z)\|_X\,\|\mathcal{L}_1(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,1}(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\,dz\\ &+\int_{x/2}^{2x}\|f(z)\|_X\,\|\mathcal{L}_{1,1}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,2}(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\,dz\\ &+\int_{x/2}^{2x}\|f(z)\|_X\,\|\mathcal{L}_{1,4}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,6}(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\,dz\\ &+\left\|\int_{x/2}^{2x}f(z)\mathcal{L}_{1,5}(z;y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)dz\right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)},\quad x\in(0,\infty).\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

Our objective is to analyze the L^p-boundedness properties of all the operators appearing in each line in the right hand side of the last inequality.

First of all, by (7) we have that

$$\|\mathcal{L}_2(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})} \leq Cz^\lambda \left\{\int_{\Gamma_+(x)} \frac{dtdy}{(t+y+z)^{2\lambda+4}}\right\}^{1/2} \leq \frac{C}{x+z}, \quad x,z\in(0,\infty),$$

and also by proceeding as in (8),

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{L}_1(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})} \leq& C\left\{\int_{\Gamma_+(x)}\left|t(yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}[z(1-\cos\theta)-(y-z)\cos\theta)}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+2yz(1-\cos\theta)]^{\lambda+2}}d\theta\right|^2 dydt\right\}^{1/2}\\ \leq& C\Big(\left\{\int_{\Gamma_+(x)}\frac{z^{2\lambda+2}y^{2\lambda}}{(|y-z|+t)^{4\lambda+6}}dydt\right\}^{1/2}+\left\{\int_{\Gamma_+(x)}\frac{z^{2\lambda}y^{2\lambda}}{(|y-z|+t)^{4\lambda+4}}dydt\right\}^{1/2}\Big)\\ \leq& C\Big(\frac{z^{\lambda+1}}{|x-z|^{\lambda+2}}+\frac{z^\lambda}{|x-z|^{\lambda+1}}\Big)\leq C\begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{x}, & 0<z\leq x/2,\\ \dfrac{1}{z}, & 0<2x\leq z.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Then, the two first operators in (16) are controlled by the Hardy type operators H_0 and H_∞, which are bounded in $L^p(0,\infty)$.

By applying the mean value theorem we obtain, for every $t,y,z\in(0,\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\mathcal{L}_1(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,1}(z;y,t)\Big|+|\mathcal{L}_{1,1}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,2}(z;y,t)|\\ &\qquad\leq C\left(t^2(yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda+3}y}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+2}}d\theta+t(yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+1}}d\theta\right).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{L}_{1,6}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,4}(z;y,t)| \leq& C\Big(t^2(yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}y}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+2}}d\theta\\ &+t(yz)^\lambda\int_{\pi/2}^{\infty}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda-1}}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+1}}d\theta\Big), \quad t,y,z\in(0,\infty).\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

By using (9), (10) and (11) it follows that the third, fourth and fifth operator appearing in (16) are bounded from $L^p((0,\infty),X)$ into $L^p(0,\infty)$ provided that the operator

$$\mathcal{T}_\lambda(g)(x)=\int_{x/2}^{2x} g(z)\,\|\mathcal{I}_\lambda(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\,dz, \quad x\in(0,\infty),$$

is bounded from $L^p(0,\infty)$ into itself, where

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda(z;y,t)=t^2(yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}y}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+2}}d\theta, \quad t,y,z\in(0,\infty).\tag{18}$$

In order to study the kernel $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ we distingue three cases. Firstly, assume that $0<y\leq z/2$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{I}_\lambda(z;y,t)| \leq& Ct(yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+1}}\frac{ty}{z^2+t^2+yz\theta^2}d\theta\\ \leq& Ct(yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+1}}d\theta, \quad t\in(0,\infty).\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, if $0<2z\leq y$, we get

$$|\mathcal{I}_\lambda(z;y,t)|\leq Ct(yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+1}}d\theta, \quad t\in(0,\infty).$$

Moreover, if $z/2 < y < 2z$, then

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{I}_\lambda(z;y,t)| \leq & Ct\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{(z\theta)^{2\lambda+1}}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+(z\theta)^2]^{\lambda+3/2}}d\theta \leq Ct\int_0^\infty \frac{d\theta}{(|y-z|+t+z\theta)^2}\\ \leq & C\frac{t}{z(|y-z|+t)}, \quad t\in(0,\infty).\end{aligned}$$

From (9) we deduce that, for each $0 < x/2 < z < 2x < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_{\Gamma_+(x)}|\mathcal{I}_\lambda(z;y,t)|^2\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{1/2} \leq & \frac{C}{z}\left(1+\log_+\frac{z}{|x-z|}+\left(\int_{z/2}^{2z}\int_{|x-y|}^\infty \frac{dtdy}{(|y-z|+t)^2}\right)^{1/2}\right)\\ \leq & \frac{C}{z}\left(1+\log_+\frac{z}{|x-z|}+\left(\int_{z/2}^{2z}\frac{dy}{|y-z|+|x-y|}\right)^{1/2}\right)\\ \leq & \frac{C}{z}\left(1+\log_+\frac{z}{|x-z|}+\left(\frac{z}{|x-z|}\right)^{1/2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the operator $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ is bounded from $L^p(0,\infty)$ into itself.

To finish the proof of this lemma we have to show that the operator $\mathcal{Z}_\lambda$ defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}_\lambda(f)(x;y,t) = \int_{x/2}^{2x} f(z)\mathcal{L}_{1,5}(z;y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)dz$$

is bounded from $L^p((0,\infty),X)$ into $L^p\left((0,\infty);\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)\right)$.

The change of variables $\theta = u\sqrt{((y-z)^2+t^2)/yz}$ gives us

$$\mathcal{L}_{1,5}(z;y,t) = \frac{2t^2}{\pi}\frac{y-z}{[(y-z)^2+t^2]^2} = t\partial_z(P_t(y-z)), \quad t,y,z\in(0,\infty), \tag{19}$$

because $\int_0^\infty u^{2\lambda-1}/(1+u^2)^{\lambda+2}du = 1/(2\lambda(\lambda+1))$. Here $P_t(z)$ represents the kernel of the Poisson semigroup associated with the Euclidean Laplacian,

$$P_t(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{t}{t^2+z^2}, \quad z\in\mathbb{R},\ t\in(0,\infty).$$

We define $\tilde{f}(z) = f(z)$, $z\geq 0$, and $\tilde{f}(z) = 0$ when $z<0$. Then, (14) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\mathcal{Z}_\lambda(f)(x;\cdot,\cdot)\right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} \leq & \left\|(\tilde{f}*t\partial_z P_t)(y)\chi_{\Gamma(x)}(y,t)\right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}\\ & + \int_{(0,x/2)\cup(2x,\infty)}\|f(z)\|_X\,\|t\partial_z P_t(y-z)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\,dz,\ x\in(0,\infty).\end{aligned}$$

Also, by doing the same computation as in (13), it follows that

$$\int_{(0,x/2)\cup(2x,\infty)}\|f(z)\|_X\,\|t\partial_z P_t(y-z)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\,dz \leq C\Big(H_0(\|f\|_X)(x)+H_\infty(\|f\|_X)(x)\Big),\ x\in(0,\infty).$$

Note that $t\partial_z P_t(z) = h_t(z)$, $z\in\mathbb{R}$ and $t>0$, where $h(z) = -\frac{2}{\pi}\frac{z}{(z^2+1)^2}$, $z\in\mathbb{R}$. It is clear that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(z)dz = 0$ and that $h\notin S(\mathbb{R})$, and then [23, Theorem 4.2] cannot be apply directly. We define the operator $\mathcal{S}$ by

$$\mathcal{S}(g)(y,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t\partial_z P_t(y-z)g(z)dz, \quad g\in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

It is well-known that

$$\left\|\left(\int_{\Gamma(x)}|\mathcal{S}(g)(y,t)|^2\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{1/2}\right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})}, \quad g\in L^q(\mathbb{R}),\ 1<q<\infty. \tag{20}$$

(see [35, p. 27–28, 180–182]). Moreover, the kernel $t\partial_z P_t(y-z)$ satisfies the hypothesis in [22, Theorem 4.8]. Hence, there exists $C>0$ such that for every $g\in L^p(\mathbb{R})\otimes X$,

$$\left\|\left\|(g*t\partial_z P_t)(y)\chi_{\Gamma(x)}(y,t)\right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},X)}.$$

We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ the extension of the operator $\mathcal{S}\otimes I_X$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R},X)$ as a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R},X)$ to $T^{p,2}\left(\mathbb{R},\gamma\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)\right)$, where the tent space $T^{p,2}\left(\mathbb{R},\gamma\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)\right)$ is the completion of $C_c(\mathbb{R}^2_+)\otimes X$ with respect to the norm

$$\|F\|_{T^{p,2}\left(\mathbb{R},\gamma\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)\right)}=\|F(y,t)\chi_{\Gamma(x)}(y,t)\|_{L^p\left(\mathbb{R},\gamma\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)\right)}.$$

Our next objective is to show that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(g)=\mathcal{S}(g)$, $g\in L^p(\mathbb{R},X)$, that is,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(g)(y,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} t\partial_z P_t(y-z)g(z)dz,\quad g\in L^p(\mathbb{R},X),$$

where the last integral is understood in the Bochner's sense.

Let $g\in L^p(\mathbb{R},X)$. Observe that $F(y,t)=\mathcal{S}(g)(y,t)\chi_{\Gamma(x)}(y,t)$ is weakly-$L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2};X)$ for almost every $x\in\mathbb{R}$. Indeed, from (20) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\left(\int_{\Gamma(x)}|\langle F(y,t),x'\rangle|^2\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{1/2}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}&=\left\|\left(\int_{\Gamma(x)}|\mathcal{S}(\langle g,x'\rangle)(y,t)|^2\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{1/2}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}\\&\leq C\|\langle g,x'\rangle\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}\leq C\|x'\|_{X'}\|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},X)}<\infty,\quad x'\in X',\end{aligned}$$

and this implies that $\langle F(y,t),x'\rangle\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2})$, a.e. $x\in\mathbb{R}$.

From (19) it follows that

$$|t\partial_z P_t(y-z)|\leq C\frac{t}{(|y-z|+t)^2},\quad t\in(0,\infty),\ y,z\in\mathbb{R}.$$

If $N\in\mathbb{N}$, we denote $\Gamma_N(x)=\{(y,t)\in\mathbb{R}^2_+:|x-y|<t,1/N<t<N\}$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\mathcal{S}(g)(y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_N(x)}(y,t)\right\|^2_{L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2};X\right)}&\leq C\int_{\Gamma_N(x)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\|g(z)\|_X}{(|y-z|+t)^2}dz\right)^2dydt\\&\leq C\|g\|^2_{L^p(\mathbb{R},X)}\int_{\Gamma_N(x)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{dz}{(|y-z|+t)^{2p'}}\right)^{2/p'}dydt\\&\leq C\|g\|^2_{L^p(\mathbb{R},X)},\quad N\in\mathbb{N},\ x\in\mathbb{R}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $C>0$ depends on $N\in\mathbb{N}$ but it does not depend on g. Assume that $g_n\in L^p(\mathbb{R})\otimes X$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, and that $g_n\longrightarrow g$, as $n\to\infty$, in $L^p(\mathbb{R},X)$. Then, for every $N\in\mathbb{N}$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{S}(g_n)\chi_{\Gamma_N(x)}\longrightarrow\mathcal{S}(g)\chi_{\Gamma_N(x)},\quad\text{as } n\to\infty,\text{ in } L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2};X\right).$$

Also,

$$\mathcal{S}(g_n)\chi_{\Gamma(x)}\longrightarrow\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(g)\chi_{\Gamma(x)},\quad\text{as } n\to\infty,\text{ in } L^p\left(\mathbb{R};\gamma\left(L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right);X\right)\right).$$

Hence, there exists a subset Ω of $\mathbb{R}$ such that $|\mathbb{R}\setminus\Omega|=0$, and an increasing sequence $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\subset\mathbb{N}$, such that, for every $x\in\Omega$,

$$\mathcal{S}(g_{m_k})\chi_{\Gamma(x)}\longrightarrow\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(g)\chi_{\Gamma(x)},\quad\text{as } k\to\infty,\text{ in } \gamma\left(L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right);X\right).$$

Therefore, if $\mathcal{L}\left(L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right),X\right)$ denotes the space of bounded operators from $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)$ to X, we have that, for every $x\in\Omega$,

$$\mathcal{S}(g_{m_k})\chi_{\Gamma(x)}\longrightarrow\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(g)\chi_{\Gamma(x)},\quad\text{as } k\to\infty,\text{ in } \mathcal{L}\left(L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right),X\right).$$

Let $x\in\Omega$. Suppose that $h\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2})$ such that $\operatorname{supp}h\subset\mathbb{R}^2_+$ is compact. We can write

$$\left[\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(g)\chi_{\Gamma(x)}\right](h)=\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{\Gamma(x)}\mathcal{S}(g_{m_k})(y,t)h(y,t)\frac{dydt}{t^2}=\int_{\Gamma(x)}\mathcal{S}(g)(y,t)h(y,t)\frac{dydt}{t^2}.$$

Then, we deduce that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(g)\chi_{\Gamma(x)}=\mathcal{S}(g)\chi_{\Gamma(x)}.$$

Thus, the proof of our result is finished. □

We now define the vector valued version of the Hardy space $H^1_o(\mathbb{R})$ introduced by Fridli [15]. We say that a strongly measurable X-valued function a defined on $(0,\infty)$ is an o-atom when satisfies one of the following two conditions:

- $a = b\chi_{(0,\delta)}/\delta$, where $\delta > 0$ and $b \in X$ with $\|b\|_X = 1$.
- There exists a bounded interval $I \subset (0,\infty)$ such that $\text{supp}(a) \subset I$, $\int_I a(x)dx = 0$ and $\|a\|_{L^\infty((0,\infty),X)} \leq 1/|I|$.

A strongly measurable X-valued odd function f defined on $\mathbb{R}$ is in $H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X)$ when $f\chi_{(0,\infty)} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$, where, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, a_j is an o-atom and $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ being $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j| < \infty$. As usual, the norm $\|f\|_{H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X)}$ of $f \in H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X)} = \inf \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible sequences $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j| < \infty$ and $f\chi_{(0,\infty)} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$ for a certain family of $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of o-atoms. Note that

$$\|f\|_{L^1((0,\infty),X)} \leq \|f\|_{H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X)}, \quad f \in H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X).$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a UMD Banach space and $\lambda > 0$. Assume that $\phi \in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ is such that $x^\lambda\phi$ has vanishing integral over $(0,\infty)$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ for which*

$$\|A^+(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})\|_{L^1(0,\infty)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X)}, \quad f \in H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X).$$

Proof. Let $f \in H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X)$. In the proof of Lemma 2.1 it was shown that

$$\begin{aligned} A^+(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(x) \leq & C\Big(\int_0^\infty \|f(z)\|_X \, \|\mathcal{K}_2(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\, dz \\ & + \int_{(0,x/2)\cup(2x,\infty)} \|f(z)\|_X \, \|\mathcal{K}_1(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\, dz + \mathcal{N}(\|f\|_X)(x) \\ & + \left\| \int_{x/2}^{2x} f(z)\Psi_t(y-z)dz\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t) \right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} \Big), \quad x \in (0,\infty). \end{aligned}$$

We analyze the L^1-boundedness of each operator in detail. As it was mentioned in the proof of Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{N}$ maps $L^1(0,\infty)$ into itself. The estimate (7) allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| \int_0^\infty \|f(z)\|_X \, \|\mathcal{K}_2(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\, dz \Big\|_{L^1(0,\infty)} \leq & C\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \|f(z)\|_X \frac{z^\lambda}{(x+z)^{\lambda+1}} dzdx \\ = & C\int_0^\infty \|f(z)\|_X dz \int_0^\infty \frac{dw}{(1+w)^{\lambda+1}} = C\|f\|_{L^1((0,\infty),X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also by (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| \int_{(0,x/2)\cup(2x,\infty)} \|f(z)\|_X \, \|\mathcal{K}_1(z;y,t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}\, dz \Big\|_{L^1(0,\infty)} \leq & C\int_0^\infty \int_{(0,x/2)\cup(2x,\infty)} \|f(z)\|_X \frac{z^\lambda}{|x-z|^{\lambda+1}} dzdx \\ = & C\int_0^\infty \|f(z)\|_X \left(\int_0^{z/2} \frac{z^\lambda}{|x-z|^{\lambda+1}} dx + \int_{2z}^\infty \frac{z^\lambda}{|x-z|^{\lambda+1}} dx \right) dz \leq C\|f\|_{L^1((0,\infty),X)}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Since f is an odd function, it has that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x/2}^{2x} f(z)\Psi_t(y-z)dz &= (f*\Psi_t)(y) - \left(\int_0^\infty f(z)\left[\Psi_t(y-z) - \Psi_t(y+z)\right] dz - \int_{x/2}^{2x} f(z)\Psi_t(y-z)dz \right) \\ &= (f*\Psi_t)(y) - \left(\int_{(0,x/2)\cup(2x,\infty)} f(z)\left[\Psi_t(y-z) - \Psi_t(y+z)\right] dz - \int_{x/2}^{2x} f(z)\Psi_t(y+z)dz \right), \ x \in (0,\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Big\| \int_{x/2}^{2x} f(z)\Psi_t(y-z)dz\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)\Big\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} \leq \Big\|(f*\Psi_t)(y)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)\Big\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}$$
$$+\int_{(0,x/2)\cup(2x,\infty)} \|f(z)\|_X \left\|\Psi_t(y-z)-\Psi_t(y+z)\right\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}dz$$
$$+\int_{x/2}^{2x} \|f(z)\|_X \left\|\Psi_t(y+z)\right\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}dz, \quad x\in(0,\infty). \tag{22}$$

Now, we apply (14) and [23, Corollary 4.3],

$$\Big\|\Big\|(f*\Psi_t)(y)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)\Big\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}\Big\|_{L^1(0,\infty)} \leq \Big\|\Big\|(f*\Psi_t)(y)\chi_{\Gamma(x)}(y,t)\Big\|_{\gamma\left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}\Big\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$$
$$\leq C\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R},X)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X)}.$$

Finally, taking into account that $\Psi\in S(\mathbb{R})$ and proceeding as above we can see that

$$\|\Psi_t(y+z)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})} \leq \frac{C}{x+z}, \quad x,z\in(0,\infty),$$

and also, by the mean value theorem,

$$\|\Psi_t(y-z)-\Psi_t(y+z)\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})} \leq C\frac{z}{|x-z|^2}, \quad x,z\in(0,\infty),\ x\neq z.$$

Hence, the L^1-norm of the second term in (22) can be estimated as in (21), and for the third one we have

$$\left\|\int_{x/2}^{2x} \|f(z)\|_X \left\|\Psi_t(y+z)\right\|_{L^2(\Gamma_+(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2})}dz\right\|_{L^1(0,\infty)} \leq C\int_0^\infty\int_{x/2}^{2x}\frac{\|f(z)\|_X}{x+z}dzdx$$
$$\leq C\int_0^\infty \|f(z)\|_X\int_{z/2}^{2z}\frac{dx}{x}dz \leq C\|f\|_{L^1((0,\infty),X)}.$$

Thus, the proof of this lemma is completed. □

A straightforward adaptation of the arguments used in the proof of [23, Theorem 4.8] allows us to show the following duality inequality.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that X is a Banach space and $0<q<\infty$. If $F:(0,\infty)^2\longrightarrow X$ and $G:(0,\infty)^2\longrightarrow X'$ are strongly measurable then, there exists $C>0$, such that*

$$\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty |\langle F(y,t),G(y,t)\rangle|\frac{dydt}{t} \leq C\int_0^\infty C_q^+(F)(y)A^+(G)(y)dy.$$

Assume that $\phi,\psi\in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$. We say that ϕ and ψ are λ-complementary functions when

$$\int_0^\infty h_\lambda(\phi)(y)h_\lambda(\psi)(y)\frac{dy}{y^{2\lambda+1}}=1.$$

The Hankel transformation h_λ is an automorphism in $S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ ([37, Lemma 8]). Suppose that $\phi\in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ is not identically zero. Then, there exists an interval $I\subset(0,\infty)$ such that $h_\lambda(\phi)(y)\neq 0$, $y\in I$. We choose $\varphi\in C_c^\infty(0,\infty)$ such that $\varphi\geq 0$, $\varphi(x)=1$, $x\in I$, and we define

$$\psi=\frac{h_\lambda(\varphi)\#_\lambda\bar{\phi}}{\displaystyle\int_0^\infty |h_\lambda(\phi)(x)|^2\varphi(x)\frac{dx}{x^{3\lambda+1}}}.$$

We have that $\psi\in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ and by (4) the functions ϕ and ψ are λ-complementary. Note that, since $\varphi\in C_c^\infty(0,\infty)$, $\int_0^\infty y^\lambda\psi(y)dy=0$. Indeed, by [26, p. 104, (5.4.3)] and (4) we have that

$$\int_0^\infty y^\lambda\psi(y)dy = 2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)\lim_{x\to0^+}\int_0^\infty (xy)^{-\lambda+1/2}J_{\lambda-1/2}(xy)y^\lambda\psi(y)dy$$
$$=2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)\lim_{x\to0^+}x^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi)(x)$$
$$=\frac{2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)}{\displaystyle\int_0^\infty |h_\lambda(\phi)(y)|^2\varphi(y)\frac{dy}{y^{3\lambda+1}}}\lim_{x\to0^+}x^{-2\lambda}\varphi(x)h_\lambda(\bar{\phi})(x)=0.$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\lambda > 1$ and $0 < q < \infty$. Assume that $(1+x^2)^{-1}f \in L^1(0,\infty)$ and $g \in L^\infty_c(0,\infty)$. Moreover, suppose that $\phi, \psi \in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ are a pair of λ-complementary functions such that $\int_0^\infty x^\lambda \phi(x)dx = \int_0^\infty x^\lambda \psi(x)dx = 0$. If $C_q^+(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)}) \in L^\infty(0,\infty)$ and $A^+(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)}) \in L^1(0,\infty)$, then*

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)g(x)dx = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(y)(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)\frac{dydt}{t}. \tag{23}$$

Proof. Assume that $C_q^+(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)}) \in L^\infty(0,\infty)$ and $A^+(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)}) \in L^1(0,\infty)$. According to Lemma 2.4 the integral in the right hand side of (23) is absolutely convergent. Hence we can write

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(y)(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)\frac{dydt}{t} = \lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{1/N}^N \int_0^\infty (f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(y)(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)\frac{dydt}{t}. \tag{24}$$

Our next objective is to establish that

$$\int_{1/N}^N \int_0^\infty (f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(y)(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)dy\frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^\infty f(z)\int_{1/N}^N \int_0^\infty {}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)dy\frac{dt}{t}dz,\ N\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{25}$$

In order to do this we will prove that, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_N > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty \left|{}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)\right| dy \le \frac{C_N}{1+z^2}, \quad t \in (1/N, N) \text{ and } z \in (0,\infty). \tag{26}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.1 we choose a function $\Phi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\Phi(z^2) = \phi(z)z^{-\lambda}$, $z \in (0,\infty)$. By using [30, p. 86] we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
|{}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)| \le& C\frac{(yz)^\lambda}{t^{2\lambda+1}}\int_0^\pi (\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}\left|\Phi\left(\frac{(y-z)^2+2yz(1-\cos\theta)}{t^2}\right)\right|d\theta \\
\le& Ct(yz)^\lambda\int_0^\pi \frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+2yz(1-\cos\theta)]^{\lambda+1}}d\theta \le C\frac{t}{t^2+(y-z)^2}, \quad t,y,z\in(0,\infty).
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Since $g \in L^\infty_c(0,\infty)$, by taking into account that $\frac{d}{dz}(z^{-\mu}J_\mu(z)) = -z^{-\mu}J_{\mu+1}(z)$, $z \in (0,\infty)$, and that $\sqrt{z}J_\mu(z)$ is a bounded function on $(0,\infty)$, for every $\mu > -1/2$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dx}h_\lambda(g)(x) = \frac{\lambda}{x}h_\lambda(g)(x) - h_{\lambda+1}(yg)(x), \quad x \in (0,\infty).$$

By iterating this argument we conclude that $h_\lambda(g) \in C^\infty(0,\infty)$. Moreover, since $\sqrt{z}J_\mu(z)$ and $z^{-\mu}J_\mu(z)$ are bounded functions on $(0,\infty)$, for every $\mu > -1/2$, the functions $h_\lambda(g)$ and $\frac{d}{dx}h_\lambda(g)$ are bounded on $(0,\infty)$ because $\lambda > 1$. According to [37, Lemma 8], $h_\lambda(\psi_{(t)}) \in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$, $t > 0$. Then, by taking into account (3) and that $\lambda > 1$, we get

$$h_\lambda\left(u^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi_{(t)})(u)h_\lambda(g)(u)\right)(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}h_\lambda\left((1+\Delta_{\lambda,u})\left(u^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi_{(t)})(u)h_\lambda(g)(u)\right)\right)(x), \quad x\in(0,\infty).$$

On the other hand, $y^\lambda g \in L^1(0,\infty)$ and $\psi \in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$. Then, $y^\lambda(\psi_{(t)}\#_\lambda g) \in L^1(0,\infty)$ and $h_\lambda(\psi_{(t)})(y)h_\lambda(g)(y) \in L^1(0,\infty)$, and we obtain

$$(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}h_\lambda\left((1+\Delta_\lambda)\left(u^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi_{(t)})(u)h_\lambda(g)(u)\right)\right)(x), \quad x\in(0,\infty).$$

We write

$$1+\Delta_\lambda = 1-(2\lambda+1)x^\lambda\left(\frac{1}{x}D\right)x^{-\lambda} - x^{\lambda+2}\left(\frac{1}{x}D\right)^2 x^{-\lambda}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1+\Delta_\lambda)&\left(u^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi_{(t)})(u)h_\lambda(g)(u)\right) = (ut)^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi)(ut)h_\lambda(g)(u) \\
&-(2\lambda+1)u^\lambda\left[\left(\frac{1}{u}D\right)\left((ut)^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi)(ut)\right)u^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(g)(u) + (ut)^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi)(ut)\left(\frac{1}{u}D\right)\left(u^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(g)(u)\right)\right] \\
&-u^{\lambda+2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{u}D\right)^2\left((ut)^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi)(ut)\right)u^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(g)(u) + 2\left(\frac{1}{u}D\right)\left((ut)^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi)(ut)\right)\right. \\
&\left.\cdot\left(\frac{1}{u}D\right)\left(u^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(g)(u)\right) + (ut)^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi)(ut)\left(\frac{1}{u}D\right)^2\left(u^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(g)(u)\right)\right], \quad t,u\in(0,\infty).
\end{aligned}$$

By using again that $h_\lambda(\psi) \in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ and that the function $z^{-\mu}J_\mu(z)$ is bounded on $(0,\infty)$, for every $\mu > -1/2$, we deduce that, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left|h_\lambda\left((1+\Delta_\lambda)\left(u^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi_{(t)})(u)h_\lambda(g)(u)\right)\right)(x)\right| \leq Ct^{-m}, \quad t,x \in (0,\infty).$$

Putting together the above estimates we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \left|{}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)dy\right| \leq& \frac{C}{t^m}\int_0^\infty \frac{t}{(t^2+(y-z)^2)(1+y^2)}dy\\ \leq& \frac{C}{t^m}\left(\frac{t}{t^2+z^2}\int_0^{z/2}\frac{dy}{1+y^2} + \frac{t}{1+z^2}\int_{z/2}^\infty \frac{dy}{t^2+(y-z)^2}\right)\\ \leq& \frac{CN^{m+1}}{1/N^2+z^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{1+y^2} + \frac{CN^{m+1}}{1+z^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{t^2+(y-z)^2}\\ \leq& \frac{C_N}{1+z^2}, \quad t \in (1/N,N),\ z \in (0,\infty).\end{aligned}$$

Thus (26) is established.

Since $g \in L^\infty_c(0,\infty)$, g defines an element $T_g \in S_\lambda(0,\infty)'$ by

$$\langle T_g,\varphi\rangle = \int_0^\infty g(x)\varphi(x)dx, \quad \varphi \in S_\lambda(0,\infty).$$

According to [7] we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty {}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)dy =& \left(\left(T_g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)}\right)\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)}\right)(z) = \left(T_g\#_\lambda\left(\psi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)}\right)\right)(z)\\ =& \left(g\#_\lambda\left(\psi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)}\right)\right)(z), \quad z \in (0,\infty).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for every $\varphi \in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$, $T_g\#_\lambda\varphi$ is defined by

$$(T_g\#_\lambda\varphi)(x) = \langle T_g,\ {}_\lambda\tau_x\varphi\rangle, \quad x \in (0,\infty),$$

(see [7] for details about distributional Hankel convolution).

Note that, by using the interchange formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned}h_\lambda\left(\psi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)}\right)(x) =& x^{-\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi_{(t)})(x)h_\lambda(\phi_{(t)})(x) = \frac{x^{-\lambda}}{t^\lambda}h_\lambda(\psi)(xt)\frac{1}{t^\lambda}h_\lambda(\phi)(xt)\\ =& \frac{1}{t^\lambda}h_\lambda\left(\psi\#_\lambda\phi\right)(xt) = h_\lambda\left(\left(\psi\#_\lambda\phi\right)_{(t)}\right)(x), \quad t,x \in (0,\infty).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\psi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)} = (\psi\#_\lambda\phi)_{(t)}$, $t \in (0,\infty)$. Since $\psi\#_\lambda\phi \in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ ([28, Proposition 2.2, (i)]) and $g \in L^\infty_c(0,\infty)$, from (27) it follows that, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{1/N}^N\int_0^\infty |g(y)\,{}_\lambda\tau_z(\psi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(y)|\frac{dydt}{t} \leq C\int_{1/N}^N\int_0^\infty \frac{|g(y)|t}{t^2+(y-z)^2}\frac{dydt}{t} \leq C\int_{1/N}^N\frac{dt}{t^2}\int_0^\infty |g(y)|dy < \infty.$$

In addition, we have that

$$\int_{1/N}^N\int_0^\pi (\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}\frac{\left|(\psi\#_\lambda\phi)_{(t)}\left(\sqrt{(z-y)^2+2zy(1-\cos\theta)}\right)\right|}{((z-y)^2+2zy(1-\cos\theta))^{\lambda/2}}d\theta\frac{dt}{t} < \infty, \quad y,z \in (0,\infty),\ N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_{1/N}^N\int_0^\infty {}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)dy\frac{dt}{t} = \left(g\#_\lambda\left(\int_{1/N}^N \phi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)}\frac{dt}{t}\right)\right)(z), \quad z \in (0,\infty). \tag{28}$$

The inner integral can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{1/N}^N(\phi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(u)\frac{dt}{t} =& \int_{1/N}^\infty(\phi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(u)\frac{dt}{t} - \int_N^\infty(\phi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(u)\frac{dt}{t}\\ =& G_{(1/N)}(u) - G_{(N)}(u), \quad u \in (0,\infty) \text{ and } N \in \mathbb{N},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(u) = \int_1^\infty(\phi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(u)\frac{dt}{t}, \quad u \in (0,\infty).$$

Since $\int_0^\infty y^\lambda \phi(y)dy = \int_0^\infty y^\lambda \psi(y)dy = 0$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty y^\lambda (\phi \#_\lambda \psi)(y)dy =& 2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)\lim_{x\to 0^+}\int_0^\infty y^\lambda (xy)^{-\lambda+1/2}J_{\lambda-1/2}(xy)(\phi\#_\lambda\psi)(y)dy\\ =& 2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)\lim_{x\to 0^+} x^{-\lambda}\int_0^\infty (xy)^{1/2}J_{\lambda-1/2}(xy)(\phi\#_\lambda\psi)(y)dy\\ =& 2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)\lim_{x\to 0^+} x^{-2\lambda}h_\lambda(\phi)(x)h_\lambda(\psi)(x)\\ =& \frac{1}{2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)}\int_0^\infty y^\lambda\phi(y)dy\int_0^\infty y^\lambda\psi(y)dy = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by using [38, (3), p. 135, and Lemma 5.4-1, (3)] and taking into account that $\phi\#_\lambda\psi \in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty y^{2\lambda+2k}\left(\frac{1}{y}\frac{d}{dy}\right)^k\left(y^{-\lambda}(\phi\#_\lambda\psi)(y)\right)dy\\ &\quad= 2^{\lambda+k-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda+k+1/2)\lim_{x\to 0^+}x^{-\lambda-k}h_{\lambda+k}\left(y^{\lambda+k}\left(\frac{1}{y}\frac{d}{dy}\right)^k\left(y^{-\lambda}(\phi\#_\lambda\psi)(y)\right)\right)(x)\\ &\quad= 2^{\lambda+k-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda+k+1/2)(-1)^k\lim_{x\to 0^+}x^{-\lambda}h_\lambda\left(\phi\#_\lambda\psi\right)(x)\\ &\quad= \frac{2^k\Gamma(\lambda+k+1/2)(-1)^k}{\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)}\int_0^\infty y^\lambda(\phi\#_\lambda\psi)(y)dy = 0, \quad k\in\mathbb{N}. \qquad (29)\end{aligned}$$

Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}u^m\left(\frac{1}{u}\frac{d}{du}\right)^k\left(u^{-\lambda}G(u)\right) =& u^m\left(\frac{1}{u}\frac{d}{du}\right)^k\int_1^\infty\left(\frac{u}{t}\right)^{-\lambda}(\phi\#_\lambda\psi)\left(\frac{u}{t}\right)\frac{dt}{t^{2\lambda+2}}\\ =& u^m\int_1^\infty\left(\frac{1}{v}\frac{d}{dv}\right)^k\left(v^{-\lambda}(\phi\#_\lambda\psi)(v)\right)_{|v=u/t}\frac{dt}{t^{2\lambda+2k+2}}\\ =& u^{m-2k-2\lambda-1}\int_0^u v^{2\lambda+2k}\left(\frac{1}{v}\frac{d}{dv}\right)^k\left(v^{-\lambda}(\phi\#_\lambda\psi)(v)\right)dv.\end{aligned}$$

By (29) and by applying L'Hôpital's rule we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{u\to 0^+}\left|u^m\left(\frac{1}{u}\frac{d}{du}\right)^k\left(u^{-\lambda}G(u)\right)\right| &\le C\lim_{u\to 0^+}\left|u^{-2k-2\lambda-1}\int_0^u v^{2\lambda+2k}\left(\frac{1}{v}\frac{d}{dv}\right)^k\left(v^{-\lambda}(\phi\#_\lambda\psi)(v)\right)dv\right|\\ &\le C\beta^\lambda_{0,k}(\phi\#_\lambda\psi) < \infty,\end{aligned}$$

and, if $m > 2k+2\lambda+1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{u\to\infty}\left|u^m\left(\frac{1}{u}\frac{d}{du}\right)^k\left(u^{-\lambda}G(u)\right)\right| &= \lim_{u\to\infty}\left|u^{m-2k-2\lambda-1}\int_0^u v^{2\lambda+2k}\left(\frac{1}{v}\frac{d}{dv}\right)^k\left(v^{-\lambda}(\phi\#_\lambda\psi)(v)\right)dv\right|\\ &\le C\beta^\lambda_{m,k}(\phi\#_\lambda\psi) < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

When $m \le 2k+2\lambda+1$, this last limit is equal to zero. We conclude that $G \in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$.

Take $\alpha > 0$ such that $\operatorname{supp} g \subset [0,\alpha]$. According to (27), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|g\#_\lambda G_{(s)}(z)\right| \le& \|g\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)}\int_0^\infty |\,_\lambda\tau_z(G_{(s)})(y)|dy \le C\int_0^\infty \frac{s}{(z-y)^2+s^2}dy\\ \le& C \le \frac{C}{1+z^2}, \quad 0<z\le 2\alpha \text{ and } s\in(0,\infty).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from the penultimate estimate in (27), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|g\#_\lambda G_{(s)}(z)\right| \le& C\|g\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)}\int_0^\alpha |\,_\lambda\tau_z(G_{(s)})(y)|dy \le C\int_0^\alpha \frac{(zy)^\lambda}{|z-y|^{2\lambda+1}}dy\\ \le& \frac{C}{z^{1+\lambda}} \le \frac{C}{1+z^2}, \quad z>2\alpha \text{ and } s\in(0,\infty),\end{aligned}$$

because $\lambda > 1$.

Hence, we conclude that

$$\sup_{N\in\mathbb{N}}\left|\left(g\#_\lambda\left(\int_{1/N}^{N}\phi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)}\frac{dt}{t}\right)\right)(z)\right|\leq\frac{C}{1+z^2},\quad z\in(0,\infty). \tag{30}$$

Since the function $\sqrt{z}J_\mu(z)$ is bounded in $(0,\infty)$, for every $\mu>-1/2$, (30) and the interchange formula (4) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}h_\lambda\left(g\#_\lambda\left(\int_{1/N}^{N}\phi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)}\frac{dt}{t}\right)\right)(z)&=\int_{1/N}^{N}h_\lambda\left(g\#_\lambda(\phi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})\right)(z)\frac{dt}{t}\\&=h_\lambda(g)(z)\int_{z/N}^{Nz}h_\lambda(\phi)(y)h_\lambda(\psi)(y)\frac{dy}{y^{2\lambda+1}},\ z\in(0,\infty)\text{ and }N\in\mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $h_\lambda(\phi)$, $h_\lambda(\psi)\in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ and $\int_0^\infty y^\lambda\phi(y)dy=\int_0^\infty y^\lambda\psi(y)dy=0$, [38, Lemma 5.2-1] allows us to see that $\int_0^\infty|h_\lambda(\phi)(y)||h_\lambda(\psi)(y)|\frac{dy}{y^{2\lambda+1}}<\infty$. Moreover, h_λ is bounded from $L^2(0,\infty)$ into itself. Then, by taking into account again that ϕ and ψ are complementary functions, the dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}h_\lambda(g)\int_{z/N}^{Nz}h_\lambda(\phi)(y)h_\lambda(\psi)(y)\frac{dy}{y^{2\lambda+1}}=h_\lambda(g),$$

in $L^2(0,\infty)$, and also that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{1/N}^{N}g\#_\lambda(\phi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})\frac{dt}{t}=g,$$

in $L^2(0,\infty)$. There exists an increasing sequence $\{N_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\subset\mathbb{N}$ for which

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{1/N_k}^{N_k}g\#_\lambda(\phi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(z)\frac{dt}{t}=g(z),\quad\text{a.e. }z\in(0,\infty). \tag{31}$$

By applying again the dominated convergence theorem (see (30)) and by (24), (25), (28), (31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(y)(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)\frac{dydt}{t}&=\int_0^\infty f(z)\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{1/N_k}^{N_k}\left(g\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)}\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)}\right)(z)\frac{dt}{t}dz\\&=\int_0^\infty f(z)g(z)dz.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof is completed. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we present the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1, (*i*).** By taking into account Hölder's inequality it is sufficient to show (i) when $q>1$. Hence, from now on we assume that $q>1$. Let $f\in BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)$. Our objective is to prove that there exists $C>0$, that does not depend on f, such that,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I A^+(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)}\big||I|/2)^q(x)dx\right)^{1/q}\leq C\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)}, \tag{32}$$

for every bounded interval $I\subset(0,\infty)$.

We take a bounded interval $I=(x_I-|I|/2,x_I+|I|/2)\subset(0,\infty)$. The function f is decomposed as follows

$$f\chi_{(0,\infty)}=(f-f_{3I})\chi_{3I}+(f-f_{3I})\chi_{(0,\infty)\setminus 3I}+f_{3I}=f_1+f_2+f_3,$$

where $3I=(0,\infty)\cap(x_I-3|I|/2,x_I+3|I|/2)$. We write $F_i=f_i\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)}$, $i=1,2,3$. The estimation in (32) will be shown when we establish that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I A^+(F_i\big||I|/2)^q(x)dx\right)^{1/q}\leq C\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)},\quad i=1,2,3. \tag{33}$$

From [32, Proposition 1.1] we deduce that

$$\|F_1(y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_+^{|I|/2}(x)}(y,t)\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}\leq\|F_1(y,t)\chi_{\Gamma_+(x)}(y,t)\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)},\ x\in(0,\infty).$$

Then, Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I A^+(F_1\big||I|/2)^q(x)dx\right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{1}{|I|}\|A^+(F_1)\|_{L^q(0,\infty)} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{|3I|}\int_{3I}\|f(x)-f_{3I}\|_X^q dx\right)^{1/q} \leq C\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)}.$$

We now proof (33) for $i=2$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} A^+(F_2\big||I|/2)(x) &= \|(f_2\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(y)\chi_{\Gamma_+^{|I|/2}(x)}(y,t)\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)} \\ &\leq \int_{(0,\infty)\setminus 3I}\left\|(f(z)-f_{3I})\,{}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)\chi_{\Gamma_+^{|I|/2}(x)}(y,t)\right\|_{\gamma\left(L^2((0,\infty)^2,\frac{dydt}{t^2});X\right)}dz \\ &= \int_{(0,\infty)\setminus 3I}\|f(z)-f_{3I}\|_X\left\|{}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)\right\|_{L^2\left(\Gamma_+^{|I|/2}(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)}dz, \quad x\in I. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by (27),

$$\left\|{}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)\right\|_{L^2\left(\Gamma_+^{|I|/2}(x),\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)} \leq C\left(\int_{\Gamma_+^{|I|/2}(x)}\frac{dydt}{|y-z|^4}\right)^{1/2} \leq C\frac{|I|}{|x_I-z|^2}, \quad x\in I,\ z\in(0,\infty)\setminus 3I.$$

Hence, by [16, Lemma 1.1, (a)] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I A^+(F_2\big||I|/2)^q(x)dx\right)^{1/q} &\leq C|I|\int_{(0,\infty)\setminus 3I}\frac{\|f(z)-f_{3I}\|_X}{|x_I-z|^2}dz \\ &\leq C|I|\sum_{k=0}^\infty\int_{3^k|I|<|x_I-z|\leq 3^{k+1}|I|}\frac{\|f(z)-f_{3I}\|_X}{|x_I-z|^2}dz \\ &\leq C\sum_{k=0}^\infty\frac{1}{3^k}\left(\frac{1}{3^k|I|}\int_{3^{k+1}I}\|f(z)-f_{3^{k+1}I}\|_X\,dz+\|f_{3I}-f_{3^{k+1}I}\|_X\right) \\ &\leq C\sum_{k=0}^\infty\frac{k}{3^k}\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)} \leq C\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we analyze (33) for $i=3$. In general, $f_{3I}\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)}\neq 0$, even when the function $x^\lambda\phi$ has vanishing integral. This is not the case when considering the usual convolution, see [23, p. 48].

Observe that,

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I A^+(F_3\big||I|/2)^q(x)dx = \|f_{3I}\|_X^q\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I\left(\int_{\Gamma_+^{|I|/2}(x)}\left|\int_0^\infty{}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)dz\right|^2\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx.$$

In addition, taking into account that

$$\|f_{3I}\|_X \leq C\frac{x_I+|I|}{|I|}\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)},$$

and writing

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_0^\infty{}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)dz\right| &\leq \int_0^{y/2}\left|{}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)\right|dz+\left|\int_{y/2}^{2y}{}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)dz\right|+\int_{2y}^\infty\left|{}_\lambda\tau_y(\phi_{(t)})(z)\right|dz \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^3 J_j(y,t), \quad t,y\in(0,\infty), \end{aligned}$$

it is enough to prove that

$$\frac{(x_I+|I|)^q}{|I|^{q+1}}\int_I\left(\int_0^{|I|/2}\int_{\max\{0,x-t\}}^{x+t}|J_j(y,t)|^2\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx \leq C, \quad j=1,2,3, \tag{34}$$

being $C>0$ a constant independent of I and f.

By (27) we can obtain the following estimations

$$J_1(y,t) \leq C\int_0^{y/2}\frac{(yz)^\lambda t}{[(y-z)^2+t^2]^{\lambda+1}}dz \leq C\frac{y^{2\lambda+1}t}{(y^2+t^2)^{\lambda+1}} \leq C\frac{t}{t+y}, \quad t,y\in(0,\infty), \tag{35}$$

$$J_2(y,t) \leq C \int_{y/2}^{2y} \frac{(yz)^\lambda t}{[(y-z)^2+t^2]^{\lambda+1}} dy \leq C \left(\frac{y}{t}\right)^{2\lambda+1}, \quad t,y \in (0,\infty), \tag{36}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_3(y,t) \leq & C \int_{2y}^{\infty} \frac{(yz)^\lambda t}{[(y-z)^2+t^2]^{\lambda+1}} dz \leq C y^\lambda t \int_{2y}^{\infty} \frac{z^\lambda}{(z^2+t^2)^{\lambda+1}} dz \\ \leq & C y^\lambda t \int_{2y}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z+t)^{\lambda+2}} dz \leq C \frac{y^\lambda t}{(y+t)^{\lambda+1}} \leq C \frac{t}{t+y}, \quad t,y \in (0,\infty). \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Furthermore we will need the relation

$$J_2(y,t) \leq C \frac{t}{y}, \quad t,y \in (0,\infty). \tag{38}$$

To obtain this bound we have to proceed in a more involved way. We keep the same notation introduced in the proof of Lemma 2.1. We have that

$$|\mathcal{K}_2(z;y,t)| \leq C \frac{(yz)^\lambda}{t^{2\lambda+1}} \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} (\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1} \left(\frac{t^2}{y^2+z^2-2yz\cos\theta}\right)^{\lambda+1} d\theta \leq C \frac{t}{zy}, \quad t,y,z \in (0,\infty),$$

and

$$|\mathcal{K}_{1,4}(z;y,t)| \leq C \frac{(yz)^\lambda}{t^{2\lambda+1}} \int_{\pi/2}^{\infty} \theta^{2\lambda-1} \left(\frac{t^2}{yz\theta^2}\right)^{\lambda+1} d\theta \leq C \frac{t}{zy}, \quad t,y,z \in (0,\infty).$$

Also by [5, p. 483] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Big|\mathcal{K}_1(z;y,t) - \mathcal{K}_{1,1}(z;y,t)\Big| \leq & C \frac{(yz)^\lambda}{t^{2\lambda+1}} \int_0^{\pi/2} \left|(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1} - \theta^{2\lambda-1}\right| \left(\frac{t^2}{t^2+(z-y)^2+2yz(1-\cos\theta)}\right)^{\lambda+1} d\theta \\ \leq & t(yz)^\lambda \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}}{[t^2+(z-y)^2+zy\theta^2]^{\lambda+1}} d\theta \\ \leq & C \frac{t}{zy} \left(1+\log_+ \frac{z}{|z-y|}\right), \quad t \in (0,\infty),\ y/2 < z < 2y, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Big|\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(z;y,t) - \mathcal{K}_{1,2}(z;y,t)\Big| \leq & C \frac{(yz)^\lambda}{t^{2\lambda+1}} \int_0^{\pi/2} \theta^{2\lambda-1} \left|\Phi\left(\frac{(y-z)^2+2yz(1-\cos\theta)}{t^2}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{(y-z)^2+yz\theta^2}{t^2}\right)\right| d\theta \\ \leq & C \frac{(yz)^\lambda}{t^{2\lambda+1}} \int_0^{\pi/2} \theta^{2\lambda+3} \frac{yz}{t^2} \left(\frac{t^2}{t^2+(y-z)^2+yz\theta^2}\right)^{\lambda+2} d\theta \\ \leq & t(yz)^\lambda \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}}{[t^2+(z-y)^2+zy\theta^2]^{\lambda+1}} d\theta \\ \leq & C \frac{t}{zy} \left(1+\log_+ \frac{z}{|z-y|}\right), \quad t \in (0,\infty),\ y/2 < z < 2y. \end{aligned}$$

With all the above estimations and (15) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2(y,t)| \leq & \Big| \int_{y/2}^{2y} \Big(\mathcal{K}_2(z;y,t) + (\mathcal{K}_1(z;y,t) - \mathcal{K}_{1,1}(z;y,t)) + (\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(z;y,t) - \mathcal{K}_{1,2}(z;y,t)) \\ & + \Psi_t(y-z) - \mathcal{K}_{1,4}(z;y,t)\Big) dz \Big| \\ \leq & C \Big(\frac{t}{y} \int_{y/2}^{2y} \frac{1}{z} \left(1+\log_+ \frac{z}{|z-y|}\right) dz + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi_t(y-z) dz \right| + \int_{(-\infty,y/2)\cup(2y,\infty)} |\Psi_t(y-z)| dz \Big) \\ \leq & C \frac{t}{y}, \quad t,y \in (0,\infty). \end{aligned}$$

We are ready to prove (34). It is important to analyze carefully the region of integration, that is the truncated cone $\Gamma_+^{|I|/2}(x)$, $x \in (0,\infty)$. We distinguish two cases. Assume first $2|I| < x_I$. Then,

using estimates (35), (37) and (38) we get, for $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\frac{(x_I+|I|)^q}{|I|^{q+1}}\int_I\left(\int_0^{|I|/2}\int_{\max\{0,x-t\}}^{x+t}|J_j(y,t)|^2\,\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx\leq C\frac{x_I^q}{|I|^{q+1}}\int_I\left(\int_0^{|I|/2}\int_{x_I-|I|}^{x_I+|I|}\frac{t^2}{y^2}\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx$$
$$\leq C\frac{x_I^q}{|I|^{q/2}}\left(\frac{1}{x_I-|I|}-\frac{1}{x_I+|I|}\right)^{q/2}\leq C\frac{x_I^q}{|I|^{q/2}}\frac{|I|^{q/2}}{(x_I-|I|)^q}\leq C.$$

Suppose now that $|I|/2\leq x_I\leq 2|I|$. If $x\in I$, then $x_I-|I|/2<x<|I|/2$ or $|I|/2\leq x<x_I+|I|/2$. We are going to consider each situation separately. In the sequel $\int_a^b g(z)dz=0$ provided that $a\geq b$. By (35) and (37) we can write, for $j=1,3$,

$$\frac{(x_I+|I|)^q}{|I|^{q+1}}\int_{x_I-|I|/2}^{|I|/2}\left(\int_0^{|I|/2}\int_{\max\{0,x-t\}}^{x+t}|J_j(y,t)|^2\,\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx$$
$$\leq\frac{C}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|/2}\left(\left\{\int_0^x\int_{x-t}^{x+t}+\int_x^{|I|/2}\int_0^{x+t}\right\}\frac{dydt}{(t+y)^2}\right)^{q/2}dx$$
$$\leq C\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|/2}\left(\int_0^x\int_0^{2x}\frac{dydt}{x^2}\right)^{q/2}dx+\frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|/2}\left(\int_x^{|I|/2}\int_0^{2t}\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx\right)$$
$$\leq C\left(1+\frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|/2}\left(\log\frac{|I|}{2x}\right)^{q/2}dx\right)\leq C\left(1+\frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|/2}\left(\frac{|I|}{2x}\right)^{1/2}dx\right)\leq C,$$

because $\log z\leq z^\alpha$, for every $z>0$ and $\alpha>0$. If $j=2$ we apply (36) and (38) to obtain

$$\frac{(x_I+|I|)^q}{|I|^{q+1}}\int_{x_I-|I|/2}^{|I|/2}\left(\int_0^{|I|/2}\int_{\max\{0,x-t\}}^{x+t}|J_2(y,t)|^2\,\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx$$
$$\leq\frac{C}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|/2}\left(\left\{\int_0^{x/2}\int_{x-t}^{x+t}+\int_{x/2}^x\int_{x-t}^{x+t}+\int_x^{|I|/2}\int_0^{x+t}\right\}|J_2(y,t)|^2\,\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx$$
$$\leq C\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|/2}\left(\int_0^{x/2}\int_{x-t}^{x+t}\frac{dydt}{y^2}\right)^{q/2}dx+\frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|/2}\left(\int_{x/2}^x\int_0^{2x}\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)^{4\lambda+2}\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|/2}\left(\int_x^{|I|/2}\int_0^{2t}\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)^{4\lambda+2}\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx\right)$$
$$\leq C\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|/2}\left(\int_0^{x/2}\int_0^{2x}\frac{dydt}{x^2}\right)^{q/2}dx+\frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|/2}\left(\int_{x/2}^x\frac{x^{4\lambda+3}}{t^{4\lambda+4}}dt\right)^{q/2}dx\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|/2}\left(\int_x^{|I|/2}\int_0^{2t}\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx\right)\leq C.$$

On the other hand, applying again (35) and (37), for $j=1,3$, we get

$$\frac{(x_I+|I|)^q}{|I|^{q+1}}\int_{|I|/2}^{x_I+|I|/2}\left(\int_0^{|I|/2}\int_{\max\{0,x-t\}}^{x+t}|J_j(y,t)|^2\,\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx$$
$$\leq\frac{C}{|I|}\int_{|I|/2}^{3|I|}\left(\int_0^{|I|/2}\int_{x-t}^{x+t}\frac{dydt}{(t+y)^2}\right)^{q/2}dx\leq\frac{C}{|I|}\int_{|I|/2}^{3|I|}\left(\int_0^{|I|/2}\int_0^{4|I|}\frac{dydt}{|I|^2}\right)^{q/2}dx\leq C,$$

and by (36) and (38), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(x_I+|I|)^q}{|I|^{q+1}}\int_{|I|/2}^{x_I+|I|/2}\left(\int_0^{|I|/2}\int_{\max\{0,x-t\}}^{x+t}|J_2(y,t)|^2\,\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx\\
&\quad\leq C\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{|I|/2}^{3|I|}\left(\int_0^{|I|/4}\int_{x-t}^{x+t}\frac{dydt}{y^2}\right)^{q/2}dx+\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{|I|/2}^{3|I|}\left(\int_{|I|/4}^{|I|/2}\int_{x-t}^{x+t}\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)^{4\lambda+2}\frac{dydt}{t^2}\right)^{q/2}dx\right)\\
&\quad\leq C\left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{|I|/2}^{3|I|}\left(\int_0^{|I|/4}\int_0^{4|I|}\frac{dydt}{|I|^2}\right)^{q/2}dx+\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{|I|/2}^{3|I|}\left(\int_{|I|/4}^{|I|/2}\int_0^{4|I|}\frac{y^{4\lambda+2}}{|I|^{4\lambda+4}}dydt\right)^{q/2}dx\right)\\
&\quad\leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that all the constants C that appear do not depend on I and f. This shows (34) and therefore the proof of (32) is finished.

□

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1, *(ii)*.** Suppose that $C_q^+(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})\in L^\infty(0,\infty)$, for some $0<q<\infty$. Since X is a UMD space, it is also reflexive and therefore $BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)$ is the dual space of $H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X^*)$ (see [8] and [5, p. 466]). If $L^\infty_{c,o}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of odd bounded functions with compact support in $\mathbb{R}$, then $L^\infty_{c,o}(\mathbb{R})$ is a dense subspace of $H^1_o(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, according to [20, Lemma 2.4], $L^\infty_{c,o}(\mathbb{R})\otimes X^*$ is a dense subspace of $H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X^*)$. Our objective is to see that $f\in BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)$. In order to prove this it is sufficient to show that, for a certain $C>0$,

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\langle f(x),g(x)\rangle dx\right|\leq C\|g\|_{H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X^*)},\quad g\in L^\infty_{c,o}(\mathbb{R})\otimes X^*.$$

Let $g\in L^\infty_{c,o}(\mathbb{R})\otimes X^*$. We can write $g=\sum_{i=1}^N a_ig_i$, where $a_i\in X^*$ and $g_i\in L^\infty_{c,o}(\mathbb{R})$, $i=1,\dots,N$. It is clear that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\langle f(x),g(x)\rangle dx=2\int_0^\infty\langle f(x),g(x)\rangle dx=2\sum_{i=1}^N\int_0^\infty f_i(x)g_i(x)dx,$$

where $f_i(x)=\langle f(x),a_i\rangle$, $x\in\mathbb{R}$. Let $i=1,\dots,N$. We have that $(1+x^2)^{-1}f_i\in L^1(0,\infty)$. Since $\mathbb{C}$ is a UMD space, by using Lemma 2.3, $A^+(g_i\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})\in L^1(0,\infty)$, for every $\psi\in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ such that $\int_0^\infty x^\lambda\psi(x)dx=0$. Moreover,

$$\|C_q^+(f_i\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)}\leq\|a_i\|_{X^*}\|C_q^+(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)}<\infty.$$

We choose a function $\psi\in S_\lambda(0,\infty)$ that is complementary to ϕ and such that $\int_0^\infty x^\lambda\psi(x)dx=0$. By applying now Lemma 2.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\langle f(x),g(x)\rangle dx=&2\sum_{i=1}^N\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty(f_i\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(y)(g_i\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)\frac{dydt}{t}\\
=&2\sum_{i=1}^N\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\left\langle(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(y),a_i\right\rangle(g_i\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)\frac{dydt}{t}\\
=&2\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\left\langle(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(y),\sum_{i=1}^N a_i(g_i\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)\right\rangle\frac{dydt}{t}\\
=&2\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\langle(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(y),(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)\rangle\frac{dydt}{t}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\langle f(x),g(x)\rangle dx\right|\leq&C\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty\left|\langle(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(y),(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(y)\rangle\right|\frac{dydt}{t}\\
\leq&C\int_0^\infty C_q^+(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})(x)A^+(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})(x)dx\\
\leq&C\|C_q^+(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)}\|A^+(g\#_\lambda\psi_{(t)})\|_{L^1(0,\infty)}\\
\leq&C\|C_q^+(f\#_\lambda\phi_{(t)})\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)}\|g\|_{H^1_o(\mathbb{R},X^*)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that $f \in BMO_o(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and

$$\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)} \leq C\|C_q^+(f\#_\lambda \phi_{(t)})\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)}.$$

□

4. Proof of Theorem 1.5

4.1. **Proof of (*i*) ⇒ (*ii*).** Assume that X is a UMD Banach space and f is an odd X-valued function such that $(1+x^2)^{-1}f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, X)$. According to Theorem 1.4 we need only to show that, for a certain $C > 0$,

$$\left\| C_q^+ \left(\int_0^\infty f(z) t D_{\lambda,z} P_t^\lambda(z,y) dz \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)} \leq C\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)}.$$

We are going to follow the same procedure as in the proof of (i) in Theorem 1.1. We fix a bounded interval $I = (x_I - |I|/2, x_I + |I|/2) \subset (0,\infty)$ and we decompose f as usual by

$$f\chi_{(0,\infty)} = (f - f_{3I})\chi_{3I} + (f - f_{3I})\chi_{(0,\infty)\setminus 3I} + f_{3I} = f_1 + f_2 + f_3,$$

where $3I = (0,\infty) \cap (x_I - 3|I|/2, x_I + 3|I|/2)$. Our objective is to see that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I A^+(G_i|I|/2)^q(x) dx \right)^{1/q} \leq C\|f\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)}, \tag{39}$$

where $C > 0$ does not depend on I and f, and

$$G_i(y,t) = \int_0^\infty f_i(z) t D_{\lambda,z} P_t^\lambda(z,y) dz, \quad t, y \in (0,\infty), \quad i = 1,2,3.$$

Lemma 2.2 implies (39) for $i = 1$. To show (39) for $i = 2$ it is enough to establish that

$$\left| t D_{\lambda,z} P_t^\lambda(z,y) \right| \leq C \frac{t}{t^2 + (y-z)^2}, \quad t, y, z \in (0,\infty). \tag{40}$$

We can write

$$\left| t D_{\lambda,z} P_t^\lambda(z,y) \right| \leq C \left(P_t^\lambda(z,y) + t(yz)^\lambda \int_0^\pi \frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1} y(1-\cos\theta)}{[(y-z)^2 + t^2 + 2yz(1-\cos\theta)]^{\lambda+3/2}} d\theta \right), \ t, y, z \in (0,\infty).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} t(yz)^\lambda \int_{\pi/2}^\pi \frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1} y(1-\cos\theta)}{[(y-z)^2 + t^2 + 2yz(1-\cos\theta)]^{\lambda+3/2}} d\theta &\leq C \frac{t(yz)^\lambda}{t^2 + (y-z)^2} \int_{\pi/2}^\pi \frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}}{[y^2 + z^2 + t^2 - 2yz\cos\theta]^\lambda} d\theta \\ &\leq C \frac{t}{t^2 + (y-z)^2} \left(\frac{yz}{y^2 + z^2} \right)^\lambda \leq C \frac{t}{t^2 + (y-z)^2}, \quad t, y, z \in (0,\infty). \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

In order to analyze the integral over $(0, \pi/2)$ we distinguish two situations. Suppose first that $t, y, z \in (0,\infty)$ and $z \geq |y-z| + t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t(yz)^\lambda \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1} y(1-\cos\theta)}{[(y-z)^2 + t^2 + 2yz(1-\cos\theta)]^{\lambda+3/2}} d\theta &\leq Cty(yz)^\lambda \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}}{[(y-z)^2 + t^2 + yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+3/2}} d\theta \\ &\leq C \frac{t}{z[(y-z)^2 + t^2]^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{2\lambda+1}}{(1+u^2)^{\lambda+3/2}} du \leq C \frac{t}{(y-z)^2 + t^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

On the other hand, if $t, y, z \in (0,\infty)$ and $z < |y-z| + t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t(yz)^\lambda \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1} y(1-\cos\theta)}{[(y-z)^2 + t^2 + 2yz(1-\cos\theta)]^{\lambda+3/2}} d\theta &\leq C \frac{ty}{(|y-z|^2 + t^2)^{3/2}} \int_0^{\pi/2} \theta^{2\lambda+1} \left(\frac{yz}{yz\theta^2} \right)^\lambda d\theta \\ &\leq C \frac{t(|y-z| + z)}{(|y-z|^2 + t^2)^{3/2}} \leq C \frac{t}{(y-z)^2 + t^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

By [30, p. 86], (41), (42) and (43) we conclude that (40) holds.

Finally, we are going to show (39) for $i=3$. We have that

$$\left|\int_0^\infty tD_{\lambda,z}P_t^\lambda(z,y)dz\right| \leq \int_0^{y/2}\left|tD_{\lambda,z}P_t^\lambda(z,y)\right|dz + \left|\int_{y/2}^{2y} tD_{\lambda,z}P_t^\lambda(z,y)dz\right| + \int_{2y}^\infty \left|tD_{\lambda,z}P_t^\lambda(z,y)\right|dz$$
$$=\sum_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{M}_j(y,t), \quad t,y\in(0,\infty).$$

Our objective is to establish for $\mathcal{M}_j$, $j=1,2,3$, estimates similar to (35), (36), (37) and (38). From (40) we deduce that

$$\mathcal{M}_j(y,t)\leq C\frac{t}{t+y}, \quad t,y\in(0,\infty),\ j=1,3.$$

We also have that

$$\mathcal{M}_2(y,t)\leq C\int_{y/2}^{2y}\frac{t(zy)^\lambda y}{t^{2\lambda+3}}dz\leq C\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)^{2\lambda+2}, \quad t,y\in(0,\infty).$$

Note that the exponent in the last inequality differs from the one appearing in (36), but the computations made in the proof (i) in Theorem 1.1 can be done in the same way.

Our last step will be to justify that

$$\mathcal{M}_2(y,t)\leq C\frac{t}{y}, \quad t,y\in(0,\infty).$$

By keeping the notation in the proof of Lemma 2.2 we can write

$$\mathcal{M}_2(y,t)\leq\Big|\int_{y/2}^{2y}\Big(\mathcal{L}_2(z;y,t)+(\mathcal{L}_1(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,1}(z;y,t))+(\mathcal{L}_{1,1}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,2}(z;y,t))$$
$$+(\mathcal{L}_{1,6}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,4}(z;y,t))+t\partial_z(P_t(y-z))\Big)dz\Big|.$$

We treat each summand. We get

$$|\mathcal{L}_2(z;y,t)|\leq Ct^2(yz)^\lambda\int_{\pi/2}^\pi\frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}(|z-y|+y)}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+2yz(1-\cos\theta)]^{\lambda+2}}d\theta$$
$$\leq Ct(yz)^\lambda\int_{\pi/2}^\pi\frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}}{[y^2+z^2+t^2-2yz\cos\theta]^{\lambda+1}}d\theta$$
$$\leq C\frac{t}{yz}\left(\frac{yz}{y^2+z^2}\right)^{\lambda+1}\leq C\frac{t}{yz}, \quad t,y,z\in(0,\infty).$$

Also, by [5, p. 483] it follows that

$$|\mathcal{L}_1(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,1}(z;y,t)|\leq Ct^2(yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}(|z-y|+y\theta^2)}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+2}}d\theta$$
$$\leq Ct(yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+1}}d\theta$$
$$\leq C\frac{t}{yz}\left(1+\log_+\frac{z}{|z-y|}\right), \quad t\in(0,\infty),\ y/2<z<2y,$$

and

$$|\mathcal{L}_{1,1}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,2}(z;y,t)|\leq Ct^2(yz)^\lambda\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{\theta^{2\lambda+3}y}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+2}}d\theta$$
$$\leq C\frac{t}{yz}\left(1+\log_+\frac{z}{|z-y|}\right), \quad t\in(0,\infty),\ y/2<z<2y.$$

Moreover, by (17) we obtain

$$|\mathcal{L}_{1,6}(z;y,t)-\mathcal{L}_{1,4}(z;y,t)|\leq C\left(\mathcal{I}_\lambda(z;y,t)+t(yz)^\lambda\int_{\pi/2}^\infty\frac{\theta^{2\lambda-1}}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+1}}d\theta\right),\ t,y,z\in(0,\infty),$$

where $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ is defined in (18). We have that

$$t(yz)^\lambda\int_{\pi/2}^\infty\frac{\theta^{2\lambda-1}}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+1}}d\theta\leq Ct(yz)^\lambda\int_{\pi/2}^\infty\frac{\theta^{2\lambda-1}}{(yz\theta^2)^{\lambda+1}}d\theta\leq C\frac{t}{yz},\ t,y,z\in(0,\infty).$$

Also, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_\lambda(z;y,t) =& t^2(yz)^\lambda \int_0^\infty \frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}y}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+2}}d\theta - t^2(yz)^\lambda \int_{\pi/2}^\infty \frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}y}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+2}}d\theta \\ =& \mathcal{I}_\lambda^1(z;y,t) - \mathcal{I}_\lambda^2(z;y,t), \quad t,y,z\in(0,\infty).\end{aligned}$$

The change of variables $u=\theta\sqrt{zy/((z-y)^2+t^2)}$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_\lambda^1(z;y,t) \leq& Ct^2(yz)^{\lambda-1/2}\int_0^\infty \frac{\theta^{2\lambda-1}}{[(y-z)^2+t^2+yz\theta^2]^{\lambda+1}}d\theta = C\frac{t^2}{\sqrt{zy}}\frac{1}{(z-y)^2+t^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{u^{2\lambda-1}}{(1+u^2)^{\lambda+1}}dy \\ \leq& C\frac{t}{y}P_t(y-z), \quad t\in(0,\infty),\ y/2<z<2y.\end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$\mathcal{I}_\lambda^2(z;y,t) \leq Ct(yz)^\lambda\int_{\pi/2}^\infty \frac{\theta^{2\lambda+1}y}{(yz\theta^2)^{\lambda+3/2}}d\theta \leq C\frac{t}{yz}, \quad t\in(0,\infty),\ y/2<z<2y.$$

Putting together the above estimates and taking into account that $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_z P_t(u-z)du=0$, $z\in\mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_2(y,t) \leq& C\left[\frac{t}{y}\int_{y/2}^{2y}\left(\frac{1}{z}\left(1+\log_+\frac{z}{|z-y|}\right)+P_t(y-z)\right)dz + \int_{(-\infty,y/2)\cup(2y,\infty)}P_t(y-z)dz\right] \\ \leq& C\frac{t}{y}\left[\int_{1/2}^2\frac{1}{u}\left(1+\log_+\frac{1}{|1-u|}\right)du + \int_{\mathbb{R}}P_t(u)du+1\right] \\ \leq& C\frac{t}{y}, \quad t,y\in(0,\infty).\end{aligned}$$

Then, (39) for $i=3$ can be proved by proceeding as in the proof of the corresponding property in Theorem 1.1.

Thus the proof of this part of Theorem 1.5 is completed.

□

4.2. **Proof of *(ii)* ⇒ *(i)*.** According to [6, Theorem 2.1], in order to see that X is a UMD Banach space, it is sufficient to show that the Riesz transform R_λ associated with the Bessel operator Δ_λ, can be extended to $L^p((0,\infty),X)$ as a bounded operator from $L^p((0,\infty),X)$ into itself, for some $1<p<\infty$. We recall that $R_\lambda f = D_\lambda\Delta_\lambda^{-1/2}f$, for every $f\in C_c^\infty(0,\infty)$, where $D_\lambda = x^\lambda\frac{d}{dx}x^{-\lambda}$ and

$$\Delta_\lambda^{-1/2}f(x) = \int_0^\infty P_t^\lambda(f)(x)dt, \quad f\in C_c^\infty(0,\infty) \text{ and } x\in(0,\infty).$$

R_λ is a Calderón-Zygmund operator ([2, Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.4]) and it can be extended to $L^p(0,\infty)$, $1\leq p<\infty$, as the principal value integral operator

$$R_\lambda f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\int_{0,|x-y|>\varepsilon}^\infty R_\lambda(x,y)f(y)dy, \quad \text{a.e. } x\in(0,\infty),$$

for every $f\in L^p(0,\infty)$, $1\leq p<\infty$, where

$$R_\lambda(x,y) = x^\lambda\int_0^\infty \partial_x(x^{-\lambda}P_t^\lambda(x,y))dt, \quad x,y\in(0,\infty),\ x\neq y.$$

R_λ is a bounded operator from $L^p(0,\infty)$ into itself, for every $1<p<\infty$, and from $L^1(0,\infty)$ into $L^{1,\infty}(0,\infty)$. We denote by R_λ^* the adjoint operator of R_λ in $L^2(0,\infty)$. R_λ^* is a principal value integral operator given by, for every $g\in L^2(0,\infty)$,

$$R_\lambda^* g(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}\int_{0,|x-y|>\varepsilon}^\infty R_\lambda(y,x)g(y)dy, \quad \text{a.e } x\in(0,\infty).$$

We can see that

$$R_\lambda^* g = D_\lambda^*\Delta_{\lambda+1}^{-1/2}g, \quad g\in C_c^\infty(0,\infty).$$

Since $\Delta_{\lambda+1} = D_\lambda D_\lambda^*$, R_λ^* is the Riesz transformation associated with the Bessel operator $\Delta_{\lambda+1}$. Moreover, R_λ^* is a Calderón-Zygmund operator (see [2, Proposition 4.1]).

R_λ^* is extended to $L_c^\infty(0,\infty)\otimes X$ in the natural way. Our objective is to show that

$$\|(R_\lambda^* f)_o\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)} \leq C\|f_o\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)}, \quad f\in L_c^\infty(0,\infty)\otimes X, \tag{44}$$

where $(R_\lambda^* f)_o$ and f_o denote the odd extensions to $\mathbb{R}$ of $R_\lambda^* f$ and f, respectively. (44) implies that X is a UMD space. Indeed, from (44) we deduce that

$$\|R_\lambda^* f\|_{BMO((0,\infty),X)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^\infty((0,\infty),X)}, \quad f\in L_c^\infty(0,\infty)\otimes X. \tag{45}$$

Suppose that E is a finite dimensional subspace of X. Then, $L_c^\infty(0,\infty)\otimes E = L_c^\infty((0,\infty),E)$. By (45) we have that

$$\|R_\lambda^* f\|_{BMO((0,\infty),E)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^\infty((0,\infty),E)}, \quad f\in L_c^\infty((0,\infty),E). \tag{46}$$

Note that the constant C in (46) does not depend on the subspace E. Since R_λ^* is a Calderón-Zygmund operator, [27, Theorem 4.1] allows us to obtain that

$$\|R_\lambda^* f\|_{L^2((0,\infty),E)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2((0,\infty),E)}, \quad f\in L_c^\infty((0,\infty),E),$$

where $C>0$ is independent of E.

Hence, we conclude that

$$\|R_\lambda^* f\|_{L^2((0,\infty),X)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2((0,\infty),X)}, \quad f\in L_c^\infty(0,\infty)\otimes X,$$

and, since [2, Theorem 2.1] also works when R_λ^* replaces R_λ, X is UMD.

We are going to prove (44). Let $f\in L_c^\infty(0,\infty)\otimes X$. The odd extension f_o of f to $\mathbb{R}$ can be written $f=\sum_{j=1}^N b_j f_j$, where $f_j\in L_{c,o}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $b_j\in X$, $j=1,\dots,N\in\mathbb{N}$. We have that

$$R_\lambda^*(f) = \sum_{j=1}^N b_j R_\lambda^*(f_j),$$

on $(0,\infty)$.

Since R_λ^* is a bounded operator from $L^2(0,\infty)$ into itself ([2, Theorem 4.2]), Hölder's inequality leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\|R_\lambda^*(f)(x)\|_X}{1+x^2}dx \leq C\sum_{j=1}^N \|b_j\|_X\|f_j\|_{L^2(0,\infty)}.$$

Hence, we can apply (5) to obtain

$$\|(R_\lambda^*(f))_o\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)} \leq C\|C_q^+(t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(R_\lambda^*(f)))\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)}. \tag{47}$$

We define the function $Q_t^\lambda(f)$ by

$$Q_t^\lambda(f)(x) = \int_0^\infty Q_t^\lambda(x,y)f(y)dy = \sum_{j=1}^N b_j\int_0^\infty Q_t^\lambda(x,y)f_j(y)dy, \quad t,x\in(0,\infty),$$

where

$$Q_t^\lambda(x,y) = \frac{2\lambda}{\pi}(xy)^\lambda\int_0^\pi \frac{(x-y\cos\theta)(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}}{(x^2+y^2+t^2-2xy\cos\theta)^{\lambda+1}}d\theta, \quad t,x,y\in(0,\infty).$$

The function $Q_t^\lambda(f)$ is called Δ_λ-conjugate to the Poisson integral $P_t^\lambda(f)$, because of the following Cauchy-Riemann type equations

$$D_\lambda P_t^\lambda(f) = \partial_t Q_t^\lambda(f), \quad D_\lambda^* Q_t^\lambda(f) = \partial_t P_t^\lambda(f),$$

being $D_\lambda^* = -x^{-\lambda}\frac{d}{dx}x^\lambda$.

We define the function $\mathbb{Q}_t^\lambda(g)$ by

$$\mathbb{Q}_t^\lambda(g)(x) = \int_0^\infty Q_t^\lambda(y,x)g(y)dy, \quad t,x\in(0,\infty),$$

where $g\in L^2(0,\infty)$. The following Cauchy–Riemann type equations are satisfied

$$D_{\lambda,x}^* P_t^{\lambda+1}(g) = \partial_t\mathbb{Q}_t^\lambda(g), \quad D_{\lambda,x}\mathbb{Q}_t^\lambda(g) = \partial_t P_t^{\lambda+1}(g).$$

Moreover, by using the Hankel transformation (see [30, (16.5)]) we can prove that

$$P_t^\lambda(R_\lambda^* g) = \mathbb{Q}_t^\lambda(g), \quad g\in L^2(0,\infty).$$

The above relations allows us to write

$$\partial_t P_t^\lambda(R_\lambda^* f)(x) = \partial_t \mathbb{Q}_t^\lambda(f)(x) = D_{\lambda,x}^* P_t^{\lambda+1}(f)(x), \quad t, x \in (0,\infty).$$

Also, from [26, (5.3.5)] it follows that

$$D_{\lambda,x}^* P_t^{\lambda+1}(f)(x) = \int_0^\infty D_{\lambda,z} P_t^\lambda(x,z) f(z) dz, \quad t, x \in (0,\infty).$$

Hence, from (6) we deduce that

$$\left\| C_q^+(t\partial_t P_t^\lambda(R_\lambda^*(f))) \right\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)} \leq C \|f_o\|_{BMO_o(\mathbb{R},X)}. \tag{48}$$

By combining (47) and (48) we get (44) and the proof of this part of Theorem 1.5 is finished.

□

References

[1] H. Amann, *Operator-valued Fourier multipliers, vector-valued Besov spaces, and applications*, Math. Nachr. **186** (1997), 5–56.

[2] J.J. Betancor, D. Buraczewski, J.C. Fariña, T. Martínez, and J.L. Torrea, *Riesz transforms related to Bessel operators*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **137** (2007), no. 4, 701–725.

[3] J.J. Betancor, A.J. Castro, and L. Rodríguez-Mesa, *Characterization of uniformly convex and smooth Banach spaces by using Carleson measures in Bessel settings*, preprint 2011, `arXiv:1107.5698v1`.

[4] J.J. Betancor, A. Chicco Ruiz, J.C. Fariña, and L. Rodríguez-Mesa, *Maximal operators, Riesz transforms and Littlewood-Paley functions associated with Bessel operators on BMO*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **363** (2010), no. 1, 310–326.

[5] J.J. Betancor, A. Chicco Ruiz, J.C. Fariña, and L. Rodríguez-Mesa, *Odd* BMO($\mathbb{R}$) *functions and Carleson measures in the Bessel setting*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **66** (2010), no. 4, 463–494.

[6] J.J. Betancor, J.C. Fariña, T. Martínez, and J.L. Torrea, *Riesz transforms and g-functions associated with Bessel operators and their appropriate Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **157** (2007), 259–282.

[7] J.J. Betancor, and I. Marrero, *On the topology of the space of Hankel convolution operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **201** (1996), no. 3, 994–1001.

[8] O. Blasco, *On the dual space of* $H_B^{1,\infty}$, Colloq. Math. **55** (1988), no. 2, 253–259.

[9] J. Bourgain, *Some remarks on Banach spaces in which martingale difference sequences are unconditional*, Ark. Mat. 21 (1983), no. 2, 163–168.

[10] D.L. Burkholder, *A geometric condition that implies the existence of certain singular integrals of Banach-space-valued functions*, Conference on harmonic analysis in honor of Antoni Zygmund, Vol. I, II (Chicago, Ill., 1981), 270–286.

[11] D.L. Burkholder, *A geometrical characterization of Banach spaces in which martingale difference sequences are unconditional*, Ann. Probab. **9** (1981), no. 6, 997–1011.

[12] D.L. Burkholder, *Martingales and Fourier analysis in Banach spaces*, Probability and analysis (Varenna, 1985), 61–108, Lecture Notes in Math., 1206, Springer, Berlin, 1986.

[13] R.R Coifman, Y. Meyer, and E.M. Stein, *Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis*, J. Funct. Anal. **62** (1985), no. 2, 304–335.

[14] S.J.L. van Eijndhoven, and J. de Graaf, *Some results on Hankel invariant distribution spaces*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math. **45** (1983), no. 1, 77–87.

[15] S. Fridli, *Hardy spaces generated by an integrability condition*, J. Approx. Theory **113** (2001), no. 1, 91–109.

[16] J.B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Revised first edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 236. Springer, New York, 2007.

[17] M. Girardi, and L. Weis, *Operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems on* $L^p(X)$ *and geometry of Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **204** (2003), no. 2, 320–354.

[18] J. Gosselin, and K. Stempak, *A weak-type estimate for Fourier-Bessel multipliers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989), no. 3, 655–662.

[19] I.I. Hirschman, *Variation diminishing Hankel transforms.*, J. Analyse Math. **8** (1960/1961), 307–336.

[20] T. Hytönen, *Convolutions, multipliers and maximal regularity on vector-valued Hardy spaces*, J. Evol. Equ. **5** (2005), no. 2, 205–225.

[21] T. Hytönen, *Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for semigroups in UMD spaces*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **23** (2007), no. 3, 973–1009.

[22] T. Hytönen, J. van Neerven, and P. Portal *Conical square function estimates in UMD Banach spaces and applications to* H^∞*-functional calculi*, J. Anal. Math. **106** (2008), 317–351.

[23] T. Hytönen, and L. Weis, *The Banach space-valued BMO, Carleson's condition, and paraproducts*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **16** (2010), no. 4, 495–513.

[24] C. Kaiser, and L. Weis, *Wavelet transform for functions with values in UMD spaces*, Studia Math. **186** (2008), no. 2, 101–126.

[25] S. Kwapień, *Isomorphic characterizations of inner product spaces by orthogonal series with vector valued coefficients*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 583–595.

[26] N.N. Lebedev, *Special functions and their applications*, Dover publications, New York, 1972.

[27] T. Martínez, J.L. Torrea, and Q. Xu, *Vector-valued Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for semigroups*, Adv. Math. **203** (2006), no. 2, 430–475.

[28] I. Marrero, and J.J. Betancor, *Hankel convolution of generalized functions*, Rend. Mat. Appl. **15** (1995), no. 3, 351–380.
[29] B. Muckenhoupt, *Hardy's inequality with weights*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 31–38.
[30] B. Muckenhoupt, and E. Stein, *Classical expansions and their relation to conjugate harmonic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, **118** (1965), 17–92.
[31] J. van Neerven, *γ-radonifying operators – a survey*, Proc. Centre Math. Appl. Austral. Nat. Univ., 44, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 2010.
[32] J. van Neerven, and L. Weis, *Stochastic integration of functions with values in a Banach space*, Studia Math. **166** (2005), no. 2, 131–170.
[33] G. Pisier, *Martingales in Banach Spaces (in connection with Type and Cotype)*, Course IHP. Feb 2-8, 2011.
[34] J. Rubio de Francia, *Martingale and integral transforms of Banach space valued functions*, Probability and Banach spaces (Zaragoza, 1985), 195–222, Lecture Notes in Math., 1221, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
[35] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: real–variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Mathematical Series, 43. Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
[36] A. Weinstein, *Discontinuous integrals and generalized potential theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1948), 342–354.
[37] A.H. Zemanian, *A distributional Hankel transformation*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **14** (1966), 561–576.
[38] A.H. Zemanian, *Generalized integral transformations*, Second edition. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1987.

Jorge J. Betancor, Alejandro J. Castro, and Lourdes Rodríguez-Mesa
Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de la Laguna,
Campus de Anchieta, Avda. Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez, s/n,
38271, La Laguna (Sta. Cruz de Tenerife), Spain
E-mail address: jbetanco@ull.es, ajcastro@ull.es, lrguez@ull.es